

# MYSTERY STALKS THE PRAIRIE

by

Roberta Donovan

and

Keith Wolverton

© 1976 Keith Wolverton

T.H.A.R. Institute, Raynesford, Montana

# PHOTOGRAPHS

## Chapter 1

Hide cut with serrated edge  
Serrated edge close-up  
Area after removal of needle wound  
Serrated and burned cut on jaw  
Incision area of mutilated calf  
White residue on mutilated calf  
Mutilated Shetland pony  
Puncture wounds in Shetland's throat

## Chapter 2

Caesarian-type incision  
Puncture wounds in jugular vein  
Square Butte, where calf was found  
Leg removed from calf  
Organ found under calf  
Calf mutilated, but still alive  
Rectal area removed  
Wound goes to heart

## Chapter 4

No blood found in calf  
White X found by calf

## Chapter 5

Ceremonial site near Butte  
Altar at ceremonial site

## Chapter 6

Helicopter over tall grass  
Helicopter over dirt field

## Chapter 8

Cattle avoid mutilated cow  
Area where cow's teats were removed  
Sketch of unidentified flying object

## Chapter 11

Sketch of creature seen by youth  
Plaster cast of creature's footprint

## 1 A cut with a serrated edge

Who?

Why?

From the beginning, these have been the two questions lawmen in a five-county area of north-central Montana have asked as they investigated one cattle mutilation after another.

Despite their best efforts, they have not yet found the answer.

Each baffling bit of evidence is scrutinized as they persist in their efforts to solve the mysteries and put an end to the bizarre incidents.

One of the most startling clues might have gone undetected if it had not been for the law officers' meticulous examination of the dead cow's carcass.

The Cascade County's Sheriff's Department had been called the afternoon of September 22, 1975, to investigate the death of two cows on a ranch near Belt, southeast of Great Falls. A veterinarian, who had seen the cows earlier, suspected mutilation and had advised the rancher to call the sheriff.

Initial examination of the first cow showed lawmen that the cow had obviously been mutilated. Dead about two days, the cow's bag, teats, rectal area and reproductive organs were removed, thus making it possible to look completely through the bag area and out the rectal opening.

What made this investigation different from those that had preceded it was the strange way the hide had been cut in removing the bag.

One of the deputies, in lifting some of the remaining hide, discovered that the cut, obviously made with a very sharp instrument, had serrated edges similar to those created with pinking shears. This was the first time the officers had encountered this type of cut.

Captain Keith Wolverton, Cascade County Sheriff's Deputy who had been working on mutilation cases for several months, decided to seek an expert opinion on the cut edge. A sample of the serrated hide was taken to a Montana medical pathologist, who confirmed the cut was made with a very sharp instrument. Captain Wolverton then took a sample to a well known veterinary pathologist in Colorado and received the following report:

This strip of skin had a long, straight cut edge with regular serrations (approximately 3/16 of an inch in width). Hair in one area had knowingly been clipped but in untouched areas the hair had been cut at the laceration edge. Changes on the skin edge resembled neither tooth marks of a predator, nor those of wire lacerations. The changes were similar to those that could be made by a knife except the regular striations.

The pathologist's reports were of primary importance to the small team of law officers investigating such incidents because the reports directly challenged the theory frequently advanced by some people who said mutilations did not occur but the severance of tissue from a carcass was the work of predators.

Coyotes may feast upon a carcass, but they do not leave a neatly serrated edge where they gnaw away the hide!

Colored photographs of the carcass, taken by Deputy Ken Anderson, provide additional evidence that the hide cut had a precise serrated edge.

The serrated cut discovery was considered a major breakthrough in a sometimes frustrating and often perplexing investigation. Reports of possible cattle mutilations had been received in the Cascade County Sheriff's Office since August, 1974, but the number of such incidents began to markedly increase during the late summer and early fall of the following year. Although some mutilations were attributed to predators, it was obvious in other cases something else had occurred.

But what?

Each report was extensively investigated and bits of evidence were assembled, studied, analyzed. It was obvious that the cattle were dead. However, in many instances it was equally discernible that the cattle were mutilated.

The question of who or what is causing mutilations continues unanswered today.

Many theories have been advanced. Some of them are proclaimed by concerned and reliable citizens who believe a wide spectrum of possibilities from Satan cults to fling saucers. However, these associations have not been proven.

After almost a year of intensive investigation, Captain Wolverton remarked, "I'm no longer as eager to find out who is doing it as I am to discover why!"

Like working on a giant jigsaw puzzle, law officers have pieced together bits and scraps of information, but they are not always certain that they have a connection with the complete picture. The officers always thoroughly investigate the entire episode.

An important puzzle segment was found just 24 days after the serrated cut was discovered.

On October 16, 1975, the sheriff's department was called to investigate the death of another cow in the Belt area. The perplexity of the situation was increased by the fact the dead cow was found in a securely locked pasture and there were no visible tracks in the area.

The absence of tracks has consistently been one of the most baffling aspects of the cattle mutilations in Montana. The few tracks that have been found around mutilations were those of other cattle. The absence of tracks is unusual because the ground around the dead cows was often soft, muddy or covered with snow.

Examination of this cow showed that its left jaw had been skinned, its tongue excised and the right eye removed, not from the socket, but through the bone directly above the eye.

## [Photos 1–8]

A significant discovery was made by the veterinarian who removed certain organs and other parts of the carcass for a toxicology examination. In skinning the animal, the veterinarian found what appeared to be a needle mark on the upper left leg. The mark went through the hide and into the flesh.

Did this indicate that the animal was injected with a drug to either anesthetize or kill it before the mutilation took place?

The tissue around the mark was removed for examination, along with the heart, liver, lungs, skeletal tissue, and bladder. In addition, the cuts around the head and eye were removed for analyses.

No drug was positively identified in analyses, but laboratory examination identified another unique clue. Cut areas on the jaw and around the eye not only had a serrated edge, but were burned.

Immediately after the first discovery of a serrated cut, officers tried to duplicate the cuts with various instruments, including a pizza cutter, leather stitcher and pinking scissors. The results were similar, but not identical.

Perhaps, the lawmen jested, whoever or whatever was doing the mutilations had a giant cooky cutter that made the serrated cuts!

After discovery of the burned and serrated cut, officers learned from a visitor to their office, who had had experience with laser beams, that this type of edge could be produced in metal using lasers. As yet it has not been possible to determine if serration can be produced on cowhide with laser.

Another mystifying bit of evidence surfaced when Undersheriff Jerry Skelton of Judith Basin County investigated a calf mutilation near Utica.

Undersheriff Skelton was called October 9, 1975, to investigate the death of the bull calf. Its testicles had been removed, as well as the cords leading to them. The only evidence he found at the scene—and it seemed to have no logical explanation—was that several stones, weighing as much as six or seven pounds each, were moved from a nearby rock pile. The rocks were turned over and moved around.

Undersheriff Skelton removed some parts of the calf for examination by a toxicologist and left the carcass at the place where he found it.

Ten days later, at the request of the rancher who owned the calf, Undersheriff Skelton returned to the scene.

During the ten days since the first investigation a snow had fallen in the area and later melted. The calf was found covered with a filmy white substance that stretched from the carcass to the surrounding ground like a cobweb. Laboratory tests identified it as petroleum distillate.

No one seems to know why the calf was shrouded in the substance.

But perhaps the most unusual incident investigated so far has involved not cattle, but a Shetland pony.

It took place in Teton County northwest of Great Falls and Pete Howard, the Sheriff, asked the assistance of the Cascade County Sheriff's Office in investigating the possible mutilation.

Captain Keith Wolverton and Deputy Arne Sand responded. The Cascade County officers and Sheriff Howard were joined by a veterinarian and two livestock inspectors.

They found the Shetland stud lying on its left side. All of its male organs had been removed and it was evident a very sharp instrument had been used in the tissue removal.

When the veterinarian, in the course of his examination, opened the horse's throat, he discovered two puncture wounds with corresponding bruises.

The most surprising development came when the veterinarian cut open the horse's body and found that it contained *no* blood except for a minute amount which he collected in two small vials.

Since the horse had weighed about 600 pounds, the veterinarian estimated the horse should have about 60 pounds (or 60 pints) of blood. He suggested that removal of this quantity of blood with hand-operated equipment would require a minimum of 90 minutes. A battery-operated pump device would have reduced the time to approximately 15 minutes.

In subsequent cattle investigations wherein all of the blood was removed, an animal pathologist stated that it is usually impossible to remove all of the blood because when a third of the blood is removed the veins collapse.

However, it could be done, he stated, by injecting a saline solution into the heart (while the animal is alive) to increase the heart action and pump the blood faster. He added that it is next to impossible to detect the saline solution during autopsy.

The Shetland pony was seen by its owner's neighbor about three or four days before its carcass was found. The neighbor told officers he saw the small horse lying on the bank above an irrigation ditch and thought it looked sick or dead, so he yelled at it. When it raised its head, the neighbor assumed it was all right and went on. Later the same evening he saw the horse lying on the pasture side of the irrigation dike, where its carcass was found, but the neighbor was busy irrigating and he did not stop to investigate.

The veterinarian was unable to determine the cause of the animal's death. Puncture throat wounds suggested the injection of drugs or another substance to tranquilize or kill the Shetland pony.

Through investigation it was established that the Shetland pony was mutilated during daylight hours and yet no one saw it happen or even saw anything unusual in the area.

To determine the exact time of mutilations has not been possible, but it is believed most of them occur at night.

Even though many mutilations are reported in Montana, not one person has ever reported seeing one take place.

## 2 Case of missing the calf

Evidence gained in investigating a number of mutilations, including the Shetland pony, seemed to indicate that the animals were tranquilized or anesthetized (but not killed) before they were mutilated. A veterinarian's theory that the drug Ketaset could have been used for this purpose greatly interested investigating officers.

The lawmen decided to perform an experiment to observe the effects of Ketaset on a calf. A Teton County rancher, who was interested in seeing the mutilations solved, offered to donate a crippled steer calf for the experiment.

A veterinarian conducted the experiment with lawmen from both Teton and Cascade Counties observing.

The 250-pound calf was initially injected with 5 cc of Ketaset. Seven and a half minutes later the calf still appeared normal, so another 10 cc of Ketaset was injected.

Within three and a half minutes the calf was down on the ground. By the time four minutes had passed, the calf's heartbeat had increased from 60 to 120 beats per minute. During the rapid heartbeat, the veterinarian inserted a 12 gauge needle in the calf's jugular vein and the blood pumped out rapidly and regularly.

The calf's heartbeat slowed to 90 within 12½ minutes and after 21 minutes had passed, the calf was on its feet. Twenty-eight and a half minutes after injection of Ketaset, the calf was no longer under the influence of the drug. The calf was later returned to its owner.

Those witnessing the experiment agreed that during the period of time when the calf was rendered unconscious by the drug, it was unable to resist anything that was done to it.

The experiment proved that Ketaset could be used to quickly render an animal helpless and that it increased the heartbeat, which reduced the time needed to remove the animal's blood.

The experiment was but a part of the intensive efforts being made by law officers all over north-central Montana to solve the mysterious mutilations. With the advent of August, the number of mutilations increased considerably and at times the officers were hard-pressed to follow up on all reports.

Pete Howard, Sheriff of Teton County, may have set some sort of record when he investigated reports of three mutilations in one night.

The first report involved a 12-day old heifer calf that had appeared to be in good health two days earlier when seen by the rancher. The calf was found in an isolated area, lying on a grassy hillside about 50 yards from a stock pond. Sheriff Howard commented on the fact that there seemed to be water nearby in most mutilation cases.

This particular incident was one of the few in which tracks were found in the area. A set of visible tire tracks in the grass indicated a vehicle had come down from the top



of the hill, stopped on a small bench above the calf, and had later turned around and gone back up the hill.

However, there were no tracks visible in the immediate area of the calf's carcass.

There was no indication of a struggle and the investigating officers were unable to find any blood in the area. When the post mortem was done by a local veterinarian, he found the body cavity almost completely void of blood. Even the heart had very little blood in it.

The vulva and rectum had been removed from the body, along with a piece of hide about one foot in diameter. Also missing were some of the internal organs, including the bladder.

Although the mother cow was in the area when lawmen arrived, she made no effort to go near the dead calf, nor would other cattle in the herd to near it.

After delivering the calf carcass to the veterinarian for autopsy, Sheriff Howard asked Cascade County Deputies, Captain Keith Wolverton, Ken Anderson, and Arne Sand, to join him and assist with the investigation of the other two mutilated calves.

These two dead calves had been reported by a Fairfield area rancher. The area where they were found was quite remote, with no houses nearby. As in the previous case, there was a pond of water near.

It appeared that one of the calves had dropped into the water and had been dragged back away from the water about 12 or 14 feet. The sheriff's report stated: "It appeared that possibly two people had dragged the animal as there were no hesitation marks in the skid path as would likely be present if one person had dragged it."

This calf was a Black Angus heifer about four months old. It was missing the tip of its left ear, the vaginal and rectal areas had been removed, and the tail. As has been noted in a number of mutilations, the animal's eyes protruded extensively.

The second calf, a five-month-old Hereford heifer, had a large diagonal incision under the left rib section, near the flank. The intestines were protruding from the wound, through which the female reproductive organs had been removed. Again, the tip of the left ear was missing.

The incision in the calf's side was the kind usually made when performing a Caesarian on a cow to deliver a calf, giving further credibility to the theory that whoever was doing the mutilations was familiar with anatomy and surgical procedures.

The carcasses of the two calves were found about 12 feet apart.

The owner said when he first viewed the dead calves he noted that the herd from which they came was watering at the pond, but in an area they normally did not use. He said the cattle appeared to be quite listless.

Shortly after the officers arrived, the cattle spooked and ran off.

A neighbor reported seeing a car drive through the herd a few nights earlier. Officers speculated on the possibility the animals were selected before mutilation.

[Photos 9-16]

Just one week after officers investigated the three calf mutilations in Teton County, the Cascade County Sheriff's Office received two calls one day and another on the ensuing day regarding cattle mutilations in their county. Each of the three incidents had unusual circumstances not encountered earlier and these added to the mystery.

A unique mutilation method was revealed in an investigation north of Cascade involving a bull calf.

The rancher found the dead calf while checking cattle on top of a high butte. The calf was lying on its left side, about 40 feet from a stock tank. Again, water was near the mutilation site.

The right hind leg of the calf had been removed in a circular cut that appeared to be made with a sharp instrument. The cut went completely through the leg bone just below the hip socket. Even though the bone was severed, investigating officers could find no trace of bone dust.

The circular cut extended toward the midsection, exposing the stomach area. All of the sex organs had been removed, including the testicles, but the empty scrotum was still intact.

In turning over the calf, officers found one of its internal organs mashed beneath its left front quarter. Officers agreed the organ had to have been removed first, placed on the ground and the calf turned over on top of it.

Although the entire area was checked, no footprints or other evidence were found. Five nights earlier, a car had been observed at 7:30 and at 11:50 p.m. on the approach from the main county road. The top of the butte may also be reached by climbing a pipeline drainage from a pumphouse 600 feet below to the top of the butte.

The absence of tracks baffled officers when they investigated another reported mutilation the same week, near Belt. Few tracks have ever been found at mutilation sites, but this time it was an even greater mystery because the dead cow was found in a plowed field.

A theory had been advanced earlier that whoever was mutilating stood on a sheet of cardboard to avoid leaving tracks. However, in this case, the use of cardboard, as well as footprints or vehicle tracks, would have pressed down the furrows of loose soil. The cow was found three-quarters of a mile from the fence line and road.

When the rancher first found his cow, he noticed that one ear was missing. After dragging her out of the field, he noticed that the two teats on the right side had been cut off and the rectal area removed, obviously with a very sharp instrument. It was at this point he called the Sheriff's Office.

Later examination showed that the cow had an incision underneath the front leg. Sgt. Les Bobier, one of the investigating deputies, cleaned the area and opened the

wound, but no special significance was given to it until months later when some deputies from Idaho were visiting the Cascade County Sheriff's Department.

In looking at the department's photo of mutilations, one of the Idaho deputies remarked about this cow's incision. He said there had been a similar wound in a mutilated cow his department had examined. They had called in a veterinarian to do an autopsy and the veterinarian inserted an instrument into the wound and discovered that the opening went all the way to the heart.

Was this another piece of the complicated puzzle?

Another mutilation report was received the same day—August 14, 1975—from another rancher in the Belt area. Incidentally, this date was the exact first anniversary of the first mutilation report received by the Cascade County Sheriff's Office.

A writer of "who done it" stories might have dubbed this incident, "The Mystery of the Missing Calf."

In this instance, the rancher found his mutilated Hereford cow in a locked pasture, about one-half mile from the county road. Her calf, born earlier in the spring, was missing. Lawmen were unable to find any tracks except those made by the cattle.

At the time of this writing, the calf has not been found.

This cow mutilation differed from the other cases because the reproductive organs and teats were not removed. The cow's left ear, tongue and some hide were missing. There was an attempt to skin the jaw. Examination showed that the gland behind the left ear had been removed.

The fact that there were no tracks puzzled the officers. The gate to the pasture was locked and anyone wanting to reach the cow would have had to walk about a half mile from the county road after crossing the fence; unless a helicopter or some other air or space vehicle was used—a theory which has been considered.

Although this was Cascade County's first case in which a calf was missing, Couteau County had one similar incident. It was reported earlier in the year, on March 24, and was investigated by Deputy Robert Blades, another deputy and a livestock inspector.

It was necessary for the rancher to take the officers to the scene in a four-wheel-drive vehicle because of muddy conditions near the Missouri River.

The rancher told officers he had discovered one of his cows was missing that morning and that the rest of the cattle seemed to be very nervous and jumpy.

A large heifer cow was found lying at the bottom of a coulee. Deputies could find no human footprints in the area nor could they find any vehicle tracks, except those made by the rancher.

There was blood and signs of a scuffle in a circle about ten yards wide around the carcass.

The mutilation had apparently taken place only a short time before, as the carcass was still warm and steaming where the blood was draining out.

The udder bag was removed by a very smooth incision and the cow's intestines and internal organs were hanging out from the rectal area.

Dog or coyote tracks were found about 20 yards and farther from the carcass, but not closer.

In searching the area, the officers came across a newborn calf that had been mutilated but was still alive. Its tongue and one lip had been removed.

The mutilate calf did not belong to the mutilated heifer, according to the rancher's records, but the mutilated heifer's calf, born two days earlier, was missing and it had a white face. The mutilated calf was brown.

The entire area was searched for the missing calf but it was not found. However, four days later, it mysteriously reappeared in the same pasture, lying on a snow bank. It was barely alive, but had not been mutilated.

The rancher later told authorities that four of his calves were born dead following the incident, although his cows had not had stillborns before. He said he put the four stillborn calves in a ravine and they were immediately eaten by predators. However, the mutilated cow and calf were not touched by predators and finally deteriorated due to the elements.

Another unusual aspect of the case surfaced when tracks resembling those made by a horse were found in one part of the fenced pasture, but the rancher stated there had been no horses in the pasture for 20 years.

### 3 Appeal made for public's help

The first cattle mutilation reported to the Cascade County Sheriff's Department was on August 14, 1974. While there continued to be isolated incidents in the weeks and months that followed, it was the following summer when the number of mutilation started increasing at an alarming rate.

But apparently whoever or whatever was responsible for the mutilations was just beginning, as during the period from August 1975 to May 1976, over 100 possible mutilations were reported to the Cascade County Sheriff's Department.

The bulk of the incidents occurred during warm weather, particularly in August and September. Records of investigations revealed that most of the mutilations took place at the time of the full moon. Whether this has any special significance, other than the fact the moon would provide light for the task, has not been established. If, as some people believe, some cult is responsible for the mutilations, it is possible the moon's phase could have a bearing on it.

It is difficult to determine the exact number of mutilations. Some of the incidents appear to be the work of predators and in other cases the animal has been dead too long to accurately determine what has happened.

On the other hand, it is safe to assume that there are a number of other incidents not reported, either because of fear or because the rancher assumed the animal was killed by predators.

In many cases, the exact cause of death has not been established. Although it appears that mutilations take place before death, there are some cases, according to pathologists and veterinarians, that cattle apparently died of natural causes and were mutilated after death.

The mysterious episodes drew the interest of Captain Keith Wolverton, a deputy with ten years of experience in the Cascade County Sheriff's Department. He had transferred to the Sheriff's Great Falls office in May, 1975, after serving at the Cascade County K-9 Academy southeast of Great Falls, a training school for law enforcement dogs which he helped establish.

When the mutilation reports began increasing, Captain Wolverton asked permission of his boss, Sheriff John Krsul, to devote three weeks of his time trying to solve them.

"I thought I could solve them in that time," Captain Wolverton said, "but the time went by and I hadn't solved anything. There's no school to train you in this kind of investigation. So far I have just been trying to get the facts together, but I haven't solved it yet, so I must be missing some information."

What started out to be three weeks of investigation has continued for almost a year, with the full support of Sheriff Krsul. At times Captain Wolverton and the men

working with him have become discouraged. But, since Sheriff Krsul considers the mutilations a law enforcement problem, the investigation continues.

Every lead has been researched, every report investigated, but many incidents have yielded no new clues.

Innumerable hours, particularly at night, have been spent by Captain Wolverton and Deputies Arne and Ken Anderson patrolling lonely county roads, looking for cattle mutilators, unidentified flying objects or anything else that might help solve the puzzle.

Sleep soon became a scarce commodity as the three men answered continuous calls from troubled ranchers who were losing cattle or from frightened individuals who had seen strange flying objects, bright lights in the sky or hairy creatures eight feet tall.

Even with the deputies' diligence in patrolling many miles of back country roads in the sprawling county, the mutilations continued. Disappointingly, mutilations always happened in an area where the officers were not.

"We wonder at times," Captain Wolverton said, "if those mutilating have some way of knowing exactly where we are."

The growing number of people who have multi-frequency scanners has made it possible for almost anyone to monitor law enforcement radio calls. One time the deputies obtained two older cars and an old pickup truck, tuned them to run well and equipped the vehicles with telephones in an attempt to patrol undetected. They used the vehicles six months, but were still unable to come closer to solving the mysterious happenings.

After his initial three weeks of working on the problem and realizing its complexity, Captain Wolverton called a joint meeting of the Great Falls news media and requested their help. He asked the representatives of the local newspaper, the four radio stations and the two television stations if they would be willing to put out a story asking area residents to cooperate by reporting any information they might have.

The appeal gained support and produced at least one unusual lead. A man in a nearby community phoned to say that his son in Minnesota was, as a sideline or hobby, assisting another man on research that might possibly link cattle mutilations with unidentified flying objects.

Captain Wolverton called the son, who mentioned a convict in prison in the Midwest, who seemed to know quite a bit about it. After corresponding with the prisoner, Captain Wolverton and Deputy Arne Sand, who is a polygraph examiner, traveled to the prison and talked with the inmate.

The interview lasted six hours, but it was not as fruitful as the lawmen had hoped. The prisoner failed some of the polygraph tests Deputy Sand administered and a later investigation of the information the convict supplied proved that the information was false.

Part of the story related by the convict was that a contract to kill several Montana government officials was in existence. He said explosives were to be used to blow up a city block in the capitol city of Helena. Later the prisoner changed the location of the predicted disaster to Havre.

Although Captain Wolverton did not believe the convict, he took the precaution of notifying the Governor's office on his return. The explosion, which was to have taken place before October 1, 1975, never occurred.

Throughout their long months of investigation the members of the Cascade County Sheriff's Department have had the total cooperation and assistance of a number of professional men, each an authority in his own field.

These professionals include several area veterinarians who went to the scene of mutilations, examined carcasses, did autopsies and gave their professional judgment as to possible cause of death, methods of mutilation and other pertinent information.

Despite their professional skill, they were unable, in most instances, to determine the cause of death. However, they were in total accord that a very sharp, possibly surgical, instrument was used to remove sex organs, the rectum, teats, lips and other parts of the animal. On more than one occasion they theorized that the incisions appeared to have been made by someone with an extensive knowledge of anatomy or medical training.

Invaluable assistance in the investigations was rendered by a Montana pathologist who made numerous tests at the request of the Sheriff's Department. An animal pathologist in Colorado also was consulted on one occasion to examine hide removed from a mutilated animal. These men verified some important new clues, but the significant question of who or what caused the mutilations was still unanswered.

A Montana toxicologist has made innumerable tests on specimens taken from the mutilated animals, as well as grass and other substances found in the area. When he determined further research beyond the capabilities of his laboratory equipment was desired, he called on the assistance of a number of other labs in other parts of the United States.

Laboratory tests were also made by the state university system and an area hospital.

Although the sheriff's departments in each of the five counties have investigated individually, they have also shared information. In some instances they have assisted one another in investigations, mutually attempting to solve the mysterious events.

Leading the investigation in Teton County is Sheriff Pete Howard. Undersheriff Jerry Skelton is handling mutilation investigations in Judith Basin County, Deputies Bob Blades and Tom Lee are working on cases in Chouteau County and Sheriff Walter Hammermeister is conducting the investigative work in Pondera County.

Other counties in Montana that have had isolated reports of mutilations are also sharing information with Cascade County.

Air Force officials have been cooperative, particularly since the rash of UFO and unidentified helicopter sightings began.

The public, as a whole, has been most cooperative since Captain Wolverton's public appeal through the media. There are some persons, however, who have been reticent to report anything strange or unusual, primarily because they fear their friends' ridicule.

The Sheriff's Department has continued to solicit reports from any residents who see or hear anything strange, because each incident may add another piece to the jigsaw puzzle officers are trying to complete.

Montana lawmen have also worked with officers in other states where mutilations and strange sightings have occurred. They have wondered about the significance of the fact that most reported mutilations have been in western states. This may be because of the large number of cattle in these states and the distances with low population density.

Of all states, Colorado appears to have more mutilations and Cascade County officers have conferred a number of times with Colorado lawmen.

Of particular interest to the Montana officers was a news release concerning a statement by Governor Richard D. Lamm of Colorado.

Dated August 25, 1975, the news story said in part:

Governor Richard D. Lamm flew to Pueblo Monday afternoon to confer with the executive board of the Colorado Cattlemen's Association concerning the widespread livestock mutilations that have occurred throughout the state.

Calling the mutilations "one of the greatest outrages in the history of the western cattle industry," Lamm pledged the full resources of the state to help stop the mutilations and arrest the persons responsible.

"It is no longer possible to blame predators for mutilations," Lamm said. "It is clear from the evidence that only human predators can be responsible for these terrible offenses."

The Governor noted that the School of Veterinary Medicine at Colorado State University had concluded that mutilations were being caused by humans using knives.

Reports from other states include mutilation of a pig in Minnesota and cattle in Texas and South Dakota. A sheriff in South Dakota wrote to the Cascade County Sheriff's Department asking for "any information concerning offenses in your area as to the *modus operandi*."

Considerable information and copies of files were exchanged with law enforcement agencies in other states where mutilations have been reported.



Lawmen continue to ponder why almost all of the reported cattle mutilations in Montana have been in the five-county area around Great Falls, including Cascade, Chouteau, Teton, Judith Basin, and Pondera Counties.

Of course, they theorize, it is possible that other areas are having the same problems but they are unreported. And in many areas, reported mutilations are labeled as predator-caused.

When highly trained investigative officers work on a problem for almost a year and are unable to come up with a solution, there has to be a factor, yet undiscovered, which is necessary to solve the mystery.

## 4 Montana is not alone

Were livestock mutilations in other states following the same pattern as those in Montana?

Had law officers in these states come up with any substantial evidence that might be helpful in solving the mutilations in Montana?

These were some of the questions Captain Wolverton considered when he started contacting law enforcement agencies in other states where mutilations had taken place.

The replies were interesting, but did not solve the riddle. Some reports indicated a similarity in incidents, while others introduced entirely new bits of information to add new and baffling parts to the puzzle.

The reports of two mutilations in Meeker County, Minnesota, were particularly interesting. One involved a cow and the other a pig.

The cow was mutilated with blood removal similar to those in Montana. The interesting aspect was that law officers arrived on the scene shortly after the cow was mutilated and although there was about a half inch of snow on the ground, the area in a six-foot radius immediately around the cow was melted off. Officers were unable to find any footprints or car tracks.

The pig mutilation also had a strange aspect. The farmer who owned the pig told authorities that his yard light had gone out and he had assumed that it had burned out. About 20 minutes later, the yard light went back on.

He went outside the next morning and found the mutilated pig in the barnyard. It was mutilated in a manner similar to most incidents involving cattle.

The officer who reported to Captain Wolverton said there had been 12 mutilations south of his county toward St. Paul. It was noted that birds and predators did not feed on mutilated animal carcasses.

Until the pig mutilation, all information the Cascade County Sheriff's Department accumulated involved cattle. However, shortly thereafter, in mid-August, a report was received that nine sheep had possibly been mutilated near Red Lodge, Montana. The left ear had been cut off seven of the sheep. Two of them died, but the remaining lived.

Interesting information concerning mutilations in Colorado was published by the Colorado press. While investigating a cattle mutilation, a Sheriff in that state found an Army bag containing a scalpel, surgical gloves, and a bovine penis at the scene. It is possible that these specimens were purposely left at the mutilation site.

The Sheriff at Sioux Falls, South Dakota, shared a number of reports on mutilations occurring in late 1974, after the first incidents reported in north-central Montana, but before the major rash of mutilations.

An incident in late September 1974, regarding a Black Angus heifer was similar in several ways to the mutilations that later occurred in Montana. The heifer's tongue

and reproductive organs were removed and the excision was completed *in an almost perfect circle, with no jagged edges. It looked as though a precision instrument of some description was used.*

The right ear was removed in an unusual method. The report stated that the ear was not sliced off at the skull's surface, but the entire ear was excised from the skull.

As in most Montana cases, no blood was on the ground by the animal or on the skull and no tracks were found around the animal. A tuft of hair, presumably the animal's, was found about two feet from the rear of the animal. It was in ball shape and approximately tennis ball size.

Lawmen there contacted the Sheriff of another county in Minnesota who reported two mutilations similar in appearance, except that these were mutilations of male animals instead of female.

Another mutilation near Sioux Falls involved a one or two day old calf that had all internal organs removed. All that remained of the calf were the backbone, hide, rib cage, head and legs. The tongue, the left ear, part of the nose, and the bull calf's testicles were removed. There was a hole about one-half inch in diameter wherein the hide of the left shoulder of the calf was removed.

Investigating officers found no evidence of the internal organs being dragged along the ground as would be the result if a predator had taken the organs.

Apparently the absence of tracks puzzled the South Dakota officers too, who reported that in one investigation the mutilated heifer was found in the middle of a soybean field which had been harvested. The ground was very soft, but no tracks were found.

A man in Texas who has apparently been assembling data to help law officers in their investigations of cattle mutilations sent Captain Wolverton photostatic copies of reports and news articles collected from a number of states. The man said he worked with the knowledge and approval of his local sheriff and asked that Captain Wolverton send him information about mutilations in Montana.

Among the information the Texas man submitted was a copy of the poster advertising a \$2,000 reward for information leading to the arrest and conviction of those doing the mutilations. The reward was offered by the Wisconsin Livestock Protective Association, organized in 1970, and which has over 250 members.

He also submitted copies of news stories telling of the mutilation of a cow in Kansas, the organization of a farmer-vigilante group in Nebraska and a \$500 reward offered by the American Humane Association in Denver, Colorado.

A news broadcast over a Salt Lake City television station in the fall of 1975 stated that there had been 1,600 mutilations in 28 states. Whether this figure is accurate or not is not known but newspaper stories have reported cattle mutilations in a number of states including Texas, Oklahoma, Washington, Oregon, Minnesota, North and South Dakota, Kansas, Colorado, Nevada, Utah, Wyoming and Idaho, as well as Montana.

In addition to checking with law enforcement personnel in other states, Captain Wolverton contacted a man in Nebraska who is a doctor of pharmacology and a bio-chemist, to get further information on the effects of certain drugs on livestock.

The man verified that Ketaset is an anesthetic or tranquilizer. He said Ketaset was the name used when the drug was still in the experimental stage.

The man voiced the opinion that the two holes found in the jugular vein on many mutilated animals could have possibly been used to inject a salt solution through the one hole while pumping out the blood through the other. He added that the salt solution could not be detected after the animal was dead any length of time.

Meanwhile, reports of mutilations continued to come in to the Cascade County Sheriff's Office. One report stated a calf was found dead in a remote area of the Highwood Mountains. The calf was missing both ears, its male organs, tail, rectum and tongue. Captain Wolverton cut its throat and found no blood in it or on the ground around it.

On the ground directly in front of the calf was a white substance forming an X measure 10 by 17 inches and topped with a bar. Officers wondered if it could be some sort of a symbol, so they sent some of the substance to a Missoula laboratory for analysis. Disappointingly, the substance turned out to be bird droppings.

[Photos 17 and 18]

Judith Basin County Undersheriff Jerry Skelton investigated the mutilation of a bull calf in which a hole had been drilled in the back of its head and the brains removed.

In some cases, the cause of death was definitely not attributed to mutilation; for example, a cow and calf found near Simms. A veterinarian did autopsies on both animals and said the cow died of emphysema and the calf expired due to intestinal blockage.

The mutilation of a cow south of Stockett followed what seemed to be the usual pattern—rectal area, tail and one ear removed—but there was one difference. A white, filmy substance, similar to that discovered earlier on a mutilated calf near Utica, was found on the hair of the cow's neck. It was sent to a laboratory for analysis, along with samples of the soil around the animal at 2 feet, 9 feet, and 20 feet. Although extensive tests have been made at the lab, the white substance has not been identified. Scientists did confirm that it is not petroleum distillate, as in the other case.

A mutilation with a different *twist* was investigated by officers February 20, 1976. The cow, found northwest of Cascade, was dead an estimated one to two weeks.

Two circular patches of hide from the belly, one teat, and one ear were missing. Apparently the carcass had not appealed to birds of prey, as there were no droppings or other evidence that birds had eaten on it.

In examining the carcass, Captain Wolverton cut open the throat, revealing no blood, but he found an extremely foul-smelling, milky fluid which ran from the opening. Some of it was sent to a laboratory for testing, but the tests did not determine what the fluid was. However, a veterinarian said later it was possibly putrefaction of tissue.

As in most cases, there were no tracks around the dead cow.

One of the greatest dangers in any investigation of strange or frightening circumstances is the panic it can cause when people hear about it. Such was almost the case at a rural high school in Cascade County one day in October 1975.

A rumor was going around the school that humans were to be mutilated next and that on that particular night some residents of the area were to be mutilated.

The Cascade County Sheriff's Office was notified. A special assembly was called at the school and Captain Wolverton and Deputy Arne Sand drove to the school to speak to the students. They explained that there was no validity to the rumor.

The deputies found out in questioning the students later that the rumor was started by some of the students as a joke.

The officers did not consider it funny, as they knew what fear and panic can do to normally stable citizens.

## 5 The Montana site

Ideas are sometimes rejected by human beings simply because those ideas lay beyond the realm of their acceptance.

Ideas that seem too far out are discounted as fantasy, labeled impossible, or simply ignored.

The Cascade County deputies, working to solve the rash of cattle mutilations, felt no idea was too weird to merit careful consideration. For this reason, they have considered the theory that the mutilations were the result of some cult and they expended considerable time investigating reports that might indicate positive confirmation of this theory.

“Some people believe the theory that mutilation is done by devil worshipers,” Captain Wolverton said, “and we don’t discount it. It could be true.”

There has certainly been evidence that could indicate this is the answer. And if a cult is responsible for the mutilations, it would explain in part why the blood is often drained from the animal and certain parts of the animal removed.

About two months after Captain Wolverton started investigating the mutilations, he discussed them with a law officer in another part of the state, who had moved to Montana about two years earlier.

The officer said he believed the mutilations involved a cult of witches. He told Captain Wolverton that he had information but was reluctant to talk about it.

The officer’s theory was that the cattle were being tranquilized with PCP, a hallucinogenic drug. The blood was then removed from the animal and given to the witches of the cult to drink, which caused them to trip out.

The time sequence when the mutilations take place has a significance, according to the theory advanced by the officer. He said he believed the cattle were killed when the sun and moon were in a certain phase which could explain why so many are during a full moon, or that mutilations occur on a cult member’s birthday or on certain days such as the spring equinox.

The officer’s theory is shared by a police officer in another Montana city. However, at the time of this writing, it is not more than theory.

The cult theory was also advanced in a report the Cascade County officers received from a sheriff’s office in California. The officer there reported that there had been mutilations in his area, but he did not know of any in which the blood was removed.

However, he reported that a group of backpackers saw members of a cult sacrifice an animal. He said the cultists were wearing hoods and robes and were chanting. When law officers arrived later at the scene, all that they found was the remains of an animal, which had been buried.

There is a site in Montana, near Butte, which appears to be a location for ritualistic ceremonies. Law officers have learned that the place is referred to as the Montana site. Some authorities believe a cult occasionally holds ceremonies there during the full moon and the members of the cult are dressed in long robes.

Several law officers have visited the site, which is a short distance south of Butte on U.S. Forest Service land. The turnoff from the mountain road to the site is marked with a large stone with "Nelo 5-15-29" painted on it in black. The site is about 75 yards up the mountain from the rock.

A report by Captain Wolverton and Deputy Ken Anderson, who visited the site April 14, 1976, states:

Approximately 75 yards up the mountain from the rock is a complete circle of rocks 61 feet in circumference (this is west of the Nelo rock). The rocks encircle what looks like a natural pit; it did not appear to have been dug out. The pit is 4½ feet deep with a fire pit at the center. The fire pit was encircled with small rocks about 18 inches in diameter. There was no indication of a recent fire, and when it was used the fire was very small.

Inside the encircled area are two Lodgepole pine trees, one on the right and one on the left. Each tree had a nail in it. If something was stretched between the two trees it would be off center and be over the stone with "ISIS" printed on it. The stone with the inscription of "ISIS favor us! Mother of moon lover of goodness," is resting on the roots of one of the trees. If one were standing in the center of the pit looking at the ISIS stone, one would be looking southeast and the stone faces northwest. By drawing a straight line from the ISIS stone to the northwest, the line would be in the center of the rock that is inscribed with YHWH. There does not appear to be any relationship between the stones that have writing on them and various rocks, selected at random, which have the painting on them. None of the rocks that made up the circle were very large, the biggest being roughly 10 inches across, the smallest being a handful of rocks to fill up any gaps.

Reports by officers who had visited the site earlier indicated there was a coyote trap near the stone labeled ISIS, but it was not there when Captain Wolverton and Deputy Anderson visited the site. Isis is believed to be the name of an ancient cult of Egypt, later worshiped in Greek and Roman empires, which had as its symbol a cow. The cult was said to have utilized mutilations in ancient times. Isis was an Egyptian goddess of fertility.

The word "YHWH" on the rock opposite the one labeled "ISIS" is believed to be a forbidden pronunciation of the word God. The numbers "5-15-29" is numerology standing for evil and Satan.

A five-pointed star and a symbol similar to the Nazi swastika were found on some of the stones in circle. The star, called a pentagram, is a symbol of the Devil, and the swastika is a symbol of a cult.

Two stones bore the name "Jesus." Another was labeled "Ariel," which is one of the five satellites of the planet Uranus, which some believe has an evil influence. Another stone bore the inscription "Jehova," which means *female demon*, but could also possibly be an abbreviation of the word "Jehovah," meaning God. Several of the words had more than one translation and there are other interpretations that could be made of this site.

### [Photos 19-20]

One tube of black paint and a paint brush were found by one of the trees, but time and weather had eliminated any chance of getting fingerprints from them.

While Captain Wolverton and Deputy Anderson were there, a deputy from Butte and his trained dog searched the site and the immediate area around it, but found nothing.

An interesting incident took place on Forest Service land in Blain County, Idaho, and may or may not have a connection with cattle mutilations.

Two Forest Service men were placed on guard in a remote area to keep cattle from being illegally grazed on Forest Service land. Apparently one of the two men left to go into town and the other was standing guard from a secluded area where he could not be seen.

As he was watching, about 5:45 p.m., he noticed two men dressed in body length black, hooded robes, walking about 50 yards from him. The taller of the two men was carrying a bag, but the guard could not determine if there was anything in it.

Although there was a jeep trail about five yards from where the men were walking, they apparently preferred to stay among some willows, as though to avoid detection. Their pointed hoods apparently had a partial opening, but they did not turn their heads so that the guard could see their faces.

When they reached a clearing they hurried, giving the impression they did not want to be seen. They looked straight ahead and never turned their heads.

One of the most unusual aspects of the incident was that cattle in the area became very quiet just before the strangely garbed men appeared. The guard said he was expecting an elk to appear, but instead these two men appeared. He told authorities that he observed the men for approximately three minutes.

Officers said no mutilated cattle were found at the time the two hooded men were seen, but they added that there had been numerous cattle mutilations reported in that county.



An interesting theory was advanced by a young woman who was interviewed by authorities in Montana. She claims to know that some very rich people are behind the mutilations and that they pay less wealthy persons to do the mutilation for them. She said they often pay from \$500 to \$1,000 for the parts taken from the animals.

Authorities have not proven or disproven her theory.

At one time during the investigation, Captain Wolverton corresponded with a man in Arkansas who has done extensive research in the field of ESP (extra-sensory perception). He had earlier helped the Cascade County Sheriff's Department in connection with a homicide case, but at this particular time was writing to Captain Wolverton concerning any possible connection between cattle mutilations, UFOs and the occult.

The man wrote that he had attended a conference on UFOs at which an investigator who was also a helicopter pilot stated that he believed the mutilations were the work of a fanatical religious cult equipped with helicopters and using special shoes which left no tracks.

Commenting on this theory, the ESP expert wrote,

While I have not put my mind on this mystery, I cannot conceive of anything one could put on the feet which would not show tracks of some kind. Without trying to be psychic for the moment, the fact that this mutilation of cattle has occurred in a number of other states suggests quite a well-organized group for cultists, if they are the cause. This (has) happened enough times to give farmers a chance to have caught them at it or to have sighted them.

He asked the question that if helicopters are being used, how are they able to get in and out without being observed? Montana law officers have received numerous reports of unidentified helicopters in the area, including some that are all black with no markings. There have also been reports of people hearing helicopters fly over their homes at low altitude during the night, but these people were unable to see them.

"It would be some operation," the ESP man wrote, "for a helicopter to hover a few feet above ground while some of the crew jumped out, did their mutilation of the cattle and then were hoisted aboard. That still does not explain no footprints or tire tracks being left behind. And what about dogs who may have been watching the cattle? What would they have been doing meanwhile?"

"Indulging in fantastic speculation," he continued, "space ships with their power to remain stationary in the air and occupants to float to earth, as has been reported, leaving no imprints, taking specimens of animal parts and blood for examination, etc., could come closer at explanation. It would seem it would take unusual instrumentation and devices for instantly making the cattle immobile, to do such a job and get away with it, in an incredibly short length of time. But who can accept such a speculation?"

The man asked if the farm area was being policed at night and if the farmers themselves were concerned enough to set up watches.

Captain Wolverton told him in his letter of reply that some ranchers had set up patrols, but nothing had come of it. Law officers also patrol the rural areas, but so far they have not been able to catch the mutilators.

The ESP researcher, who is himself a “sensitive,” described the ESP impressions he had received on the mutilations with these words:

I feel, however it is being done, that there are bands of five—women as well as men involved. I see them masked, wearing black or dark jumper-like, tight-fitting clothing. Sinister appearing, highly trained in their operations, each assigned certain duties ... armed with guns and instruments ... equipped with black cases or specially prepared bags for carrying the animal parts ... containers for blood ... they move in quickly, efficiently, and are away just as quickly. I get the feeling of air action ... what kind, I cannot “see” ... but it seems silent ... they have somehow “cased” the area and select time and place when they are almost certain of no detection.

The man admitted that the things he had heard or read about cattle mutilations could have colored the impressions he received.

But law officers wondered at the strange coincidence of the black outfits with the black-robed men in Idaho and the black helicopters seen over Montana.

## 6 Law officers go on merry chase

The idea that helicopters were possibly being used by those mutilating was not new to the Cascade County Sheriff's Department. They considered this possibility many, many times.

But the thought had added emphasis when, in the late summer of 1975, they started receiving numerous calls from people who saw helicopters that could not be identified or heard strange noises, some like a helicopter, but saw nothing. There were also instances when they saw a helicopter, but could not hear it.

At almost the same time, the sheriff's office started receiving reports of UFO sightings and of strange bright lights.

Officers contemplated the possible connection between unidentified helicopters and cattle mutilations because on several occasions a helicopter was seen hovering over some cattle.

There was some question as to whether "helicopter" was an accurate description of the craft. In most actual sightings, the person seeing the vehicle described it as a helicopter, and a number of people saw them at close range. But some of the sightings took place on a day when the wind velocity was high enough that all of the Air Force helicopters were grounded because flying conditions were unsafe.

If Air Force choppers couldn't fly in such weather, what kind of helicopter could?

Some of the unidentified helicopter sightings have been by law enforcement officers themselves, or members of their families.

One of the first reports came from the wife of a former deputy. She told officers that she was in a bedroom in her home caring for her baby when she heard a noise approaching which she described as like some kind of machinery.

The noise became very loud, as though the object from which it was coming was located in her back yard. At the same time she said there was a very bright light—or actually two beams of light—coming into the room where she and the baby were. She said the entire room was lit up. She described the top beam as red and the bottom beam as white.

After a few seconds, the noise diminished like a combine going down the field and the light left the room. The sound seemed to indicate that the object, whatever it was, had gone over the house. The woman ran to the opposite side of the house, but did not see the light or anything else.

Adding a strange quirk to the incident was the fact that the family dog that ordinarily barked at strangers failed to make a sound, despite the fact his kennel was located directly in front of the window through which the light had come.

The next day a man who lived in the same general vicinity phoned in to report that he had seen a set of red lights close to the ground. He said the lights flashed for about one minute and then he was unable to see them any more.

He was baffled by the fact the lights were in an area where there was no road and they traveled in a southerly direction, which would have been impossible for a regular vehicle, since there was a ditch in the way.

Three days after the former deputy's wife reported the strange lights and sound, the wife of one of the present deputies called from her home near Belt to say that a helicopter had just flown over her house at tree top level. She said it circled their band of sheep so she herded the sheep into the barn. She described the craft as navy blue with yellow lettering.

On checking with officers at Malmstrom Air Force Base, deputies found that the base had two navy blue choppers, but they had white lettering. After investigation, it was assumed that the helicopter, which was also seen by another resident of the area, had to be one of the Air Force choppers.

At this time the Cascade County Sheriff's Department started checking with the Air Force, other federal and state agencies, and private businesses to get descriptions of the helicopters that ordinarily flew over the north-central Montana area. A list was compiled to aid officers in trying to establish identification of the helicopters reported.

Deputy Arne Sand, who lives at Fort Shaw, west of Great Falls, was arriving home in the late evening of September 15, 1975, when he heard what he thought was a helicopter directly overhead. Although it was a clear night, he was unable to see the craft. Judging from the sound, it was headed in a southerly direction, so he got back into his car and drove about a mile south and got out of the car to listen. While he was standing there, he judged from the sound that the craft had flown directly over him.

The sound, Deputy Sand said, was not like any helicopter he had heard, but made more of a quiet whirr.

That same evening, a ranch couple living to the north of Fort Shaw, near Choteau, reported seeing a helicopter that turned off its lights and flew south as they watched. Whether it was the same one Deputy Sand saw a little later could not be confirmed.

About ten days later the Police Department at Lewistown, 100 miles southeast of Great Falls, notified the Cascade County Sheriff's office that there were several helicopters flying over missile sites in that area with spotlights. A check was made immediately with Malmstrom and officials there reported that there were no Air Force choppers in the Lewistown area that night.

A few days later Undersheriff Jerry Skelton of Judith Basin County reported two cattle mutilations in his area, along with the fact that unidentified helicopters had been seen in the area the same date that the mutilations had taken place.

A heifer, killed southeast of Stanford, had two teats removed, a two-inch square of hide taken from the left side of the belly, all blood removed, and the female organs, bladder and tail were gone.

The second mutilation involved a cow on a ranch near Geyser, northwest of Stanford, toward Great Falls. This cow was missing the rectal area, lips, tongue, nipples and all but one of her teeth.

The second cow was apparently killed during daylight hours. It was verified that the cow was seen alive at 3:30 p.m. and the mutilation was discovered at 4 p.m.

A grey helicopter had been seen in the area between 3 and 3:30 p.m. A green chopper had also been seen circling the area between 4:30 and 5 p.m. There were also reports that two choppers had been seen running together that night. Undersheriff Skelton also received reports of yellow, green and silver choppers with no numbers on them.

It was later learned that a construction company working in the area had a large silver colored helicopter and a smaller yellow one with black letters. It could not be established whether these were some of the same choppers seen by individuals. Nor was it possible to definitely prove a connection between the helicopter sightings and the mutilations.

Farmers were growing uneasy and Cascade County deputies feared a situation might develop like the one reported in Colorado. That state had had many reports of sightings of unidentified helicopters, coupled with a rash of cattle mutilations and ranchers were threatening to shoot down any choppers they saw over their land.

Captain Wolverton went to the Air Force officials at Malmstrom and explained the potentially dangerous situation. He suggested that a spokesman for Malmstrom go on television and tell area residents just what the Air Force helicopters were doing, how they flew at low altitude to check lines between missile sites and the fact they were not in the air at night except for rescue missions.

Air Force officials were glad to do this and the result seemed to be a better attitude on the part of area residents.

However, reports of sightings, or sometimes "hearings," continued to come in, many of them with no logical explanation.

One man who was camping in Moose Creek Canyon near King's Hill southeast of Great Falls, told authorities a helicopter came through the canyon flying so low that it vibrated his mobile trailer, yet he was unable to see it.

A resident of the Milligan area, south of Great Falls, reportedly heard a helicopter in his area for an hour and a half, but couldn't see it.

Nine helicopters were reported flying together one night in the Hobson, Utica and Kolin area near Lewistown.

A man in Teton County reported that he, his wife, and their two sons watched a helicopter hover over their cattle one evening. The craft, with red and blue lights, was only a quarter of a mile away, but they could hear no noise.

A Sand Coulee woman told deputies she saw a black helicopter with no markings flying about 100 feet above her property. The bubble on the front of the craft was of

dark or tinted glass, so she was unable to see inside. The sighting was during daylight hours.

A woman living near Great Falls reported that she was watching television when a helicopter flew over her home. She said it hovered and buzzed the area for about 15 to 20 minutes.

She recalled that the same thing had happened about a month earlier, but she had not reported the first incident. Checking revealed that there had been a cattle mutilation in the area at the time of the first incident.

The reports of helicopters hovering over cattle led Cascade County deputies to search further into any possible connection between the choppers and cattle mutilations. It was decided to do a little experiment, using an Air Force helicopter. A site was selected north of Great Falls, with the permission of the landowner.

Deputy Ken Anderson, the department's highly trained photographer, was lifted over the experimental area in a "cherry picker" device on a boom-equipped truck. He took pictures of the test area, which was marked with orange cones.

The chopper then came in and hovered over the area. As it flew off, Deputy Anderson returned to his "perch" to get an "after" shot of the area.

The first site was in grass ten inches tall. The procedure was then repeated over a dirt field, seeded to winter wheat. In both cases, the pictures taken after the chopper left showed no evidence of its being there. However, a shot taken after the chopper landed in the tall grass showed two eight by three inch impressions left by the skids.

It seemed safe to assume that unless the helicopter landed, there was no way to prove it had been there.

[Photos 21-22]

As reports continued to come in, Air Force officials at Malmstrom notified Cascade County officials that they would have two helicopters standing by with armed teams, ready to be launched if any helicopters were reported in the vicinity of missile sites. They agreed to keep the sheriff's office informed, if the crafts were launched.

This followed a report November 8, 1975, that several people saw a helicopter hovering over a missile site in the Lewistown area. A check was made and no agencies had helicopters working there that night.

The night of December 2-3 was a busy one for both Air Force and Cascade County officials as reports poured in of sightings of both unidentified helicopters and UFOs.

During the evening a report came in from the Juliet missile complex north of Malmstrom that a low flying aircraft, believed to be a helicopter, had been seen in the area. The airman who reported the incident, said the craft was so low at times that it appeared to almost touch the ground.

Checks with Malmstrom, Great Falls International Airport at Gore Hill and the Air National Guard, revealed no helicopters in that area.

Since the craft was headed north, toward Dutton and Brady, sheriff's offices in those counties were alerted. Eighteen minutes later the unidentified chopper was seen east of Dutton over the Diamond Valley Road. It was spotted again, 38 minutes later, over some Minuteman missile sites 15 miles north of Malmstrom. Thirteen minutes later, the aircraft was seen about ten miles out of Great Falls and headed toward the city.

In the meantime, about 45 minutes earlier, a law officer on patrol reported he was under the chopper and estimated that it was hovering at an altitude of 500 feet. He radioed in that the helicopter was taking off at a high speed, traveling toward Brady and that he was unable to keep up with it.

Air Force radar was unable to pick up the craft, but said that their coverage was only to the Dutton area.

Air Force officials then reported that there seemed to be more than one helicopter, as they were getting sighting reports from two different missile areas. All law enforcement cars were notified.

At 10 p.m., at the request of the sheriff's office, announcements went out over local radio and television stations asking anyone who had seen any helicopters, or observed them landing within the past half hour, to notify the sheriff's office.

The appeal brought an immediate response.

One man phoned in to say he had seen a helicopter flying over his ranch at tree-top level the night before. He said it had navigation lights, but no landing lights.

Another man, who declined to give his name, said he saw "*something*" land about a mile and a half north of Fairfield shortly after the time of the broadcast. It had one landing light, he said.

A Havre man phone in to say he had seen a helicopter four or five miles northeast of Carter that had lights on and was moving toward Great Falls.

A little after midnight, Malmstrom received a report that a helicopter was hovering about 300 feet above a missile site in the Conrad area. It reportedly moved toward Brady, then returned to the Conrad site.

An hour and 15 minutes later there was a report of a helicopter hovering over a missile site at Vaughn, directly over the overpass. A law officer sent to investigate said he saw a strobe light headed east, but was unable to verify if it was a helicopter. He talked with a sergeant at the missile site, who said the aircraft did not sound like a conventional helicopter and had only a single strobe light and no running lights.

Twelve minutes after the law officer saw the strobe light, it was reported by Malmstrom seven miles east of the base and headed in that direction. Seven minutes later, when it was within five miles of the air base, it disappeared. Radar units at both Malmstrom and Gore Hill tracked the vehicle until it disappeared.

A little over an hour later, Air Force officials again reported seeing what they termed a UFO with strobe light near Juliet, the same missile site 25 miles north of Malmstrom where one was seen the previous evening.

Eleven minutes later the sheriff's office received a call from a man who lived in the Highwood Mountains, east of Great Falls, saying he had seen a UFO there. This was in the same general area where the craft had disappeared a little earlier. Law officers were dispatched to investigate. The object was not visible on radar at Malmstrom.

In the meantime, the man who reported the sightings was contacted by telephone and he said clouds were starting to cover the object. He added that he had watched the object as it was close to the ground and it appeared to be more yellow than white. As it climbed, it seemed to turn white.

Despite the best efforts of both sheriff's and Air Force personnel, the frustrating game of hide-and-seek ended without any identification of the craft or crafts and no explanation of what they were doing except leading everyone on a merry chase.



## 7 Chasing lights in the sky

Apparently whoever was at the controls of those unidentified helicopters the night of December 2-3 enjoyed harassing law and Air Force officials, as the following night (December 3) more sightings were reported. Officers were able to trace the crafts' course through a series of reports spanning a two hour and 40 minute period, but were unable to identify them.

This time the calls originated in Choteau and were based on phone calls received in the Teton County Sheriff's Office, as well as on reports of law officers and contacts with Malmstrom.

A Teton County law officer radioed in at 6:59 p.m. to report a helicopter south of Dutton and headed east toward Fort Benton. Five minutes later the officer said the craft was over the Juliet 11 missile site in that area.

A check with Malmstrom Air Force Base to determine if it was an Air Force chopper received a "negative" reply. The unidentified chopper, flying at low altitude, had a strobe light. Attempts by RAPCON (Radar Approach Control, a civilian agency) to pick it up on radar were unsuccessful.

At 7:40 p.m. the Teton County officer established that it was not one, but two, helicopters and at that time one was heading east and one west.

Eight minutes later another officer reported to helicopters over Bootlegger trail north of Great Falls, flying toward the city.

The helicopters seemed to multiply like rabbits. By 7:54 p.m. Malmstrom received reports of two helicopters over Juliet 5 missile site between Great Falls and Fort Benton (northeast of Great Falls) and two northeast of Conrad (which is northwest of Great Falls) traveling toward Fort Benton. This was basically the same general area as the sightings of the night before.

At 8:11 p.m. Choteau reported choppers south and east of Dutton, near the Teton-Chouteau county line.

At 8:33 there was a report of three helicopters with flashing red lights over the Juliet 5 missile site near Fort Benton. Within two minutes all had left the area and at 8:52 they were reported seven or eight miles southwest of the missile site.

Malmstrom's Wing Security verified that there were unidentified helicopters in the area.

At 9:40 that evening two helicopters were reported ten miles north of Great Falls. Neither Malmstrom nor the tower at Gore Hill could confirm the report, nor could they identify the craft.

Deputy Arne Sand wearily summed up the evening's activity in his report, saying, "the office sent me north of Great Falls chasing lights in the sky again, and they were similar to the ones the night before. Again was not able to see any shape of the objects behind the lights."

He was referring to his experience of the night before when he participated in the chase that took law officers over a wide area. At that time he was sent to back up the Dutton town marshal, when he (the marshal) saw bright lights in the sky. What happened is related in Deputy Sand's own words in his official report:

Between Power and Dutton a bright white light, about 500 feet above the ground came from the west and crossed the highway behind me going east. I was not able to see any shape of the object. For the next two hours the lights would come and go. At about 3:30 a.m., 12/3/75, Dick Gasvoda and myself were just arriving at Fort Shaw when the office called and said that some type of aircraft was hovering over the missile site at Vaughn, so back we went and when we got by the feedlots, west of Vaughn, we saw a bright white light over the feedlots, and it was going west at a high rate of speed. The object crossed the high way in front of us and headed towards Great Falls. I talked to the sergeant at the missile site and he said the craft was over the site with a bright light, but could not see any shape and did not sound like a helicopter.

Where to draw the line between unidentified helicopters and unidentified flying objects (UFOs) is difficult to determine. In some cases the craft has been seen plainly enough to determine definitely that it looks like a helicopter. At other times, the bright light emitted by the craft made it impossible to exactly determine the shape or outline of the craft.

The method of flight often resembled a helicopter, but there were many more incidents when the speed and method of climbing was such that it could not conceivably be a helicopter.

And sometimes the viewer's description of what he saw ruled out the possibility of a helicopter.

Helicopter or UFO—between August 1975, and May 1976, the Cascade County Sheriff's office received about 130 reports of sightings in the five-county area.

There did not seem to be a pattern to the area where the sightings were reported and they ranged over a wide area.

Frustrated officers found that in many instances, by the time they reached the place where the sighting had taken place, the object in question was gone. It is difficult to investigate something that is no longer there.

Reports came in from many areas. The sheriff's office at Fort Benton reported a helicopter 20 miles east of that community. Malmstrom said there were no Air Force choppers in that area.

A woman at Eden told of a helicopter flying low right over her ranch home.

A resident of Chestnut Valley watched a helicopter flying for about an hour over the area where he lived.

An unidentified helicopter reported flying over Cascade, turned out to be one belonging to the Montana Air National Guard.

On the night of December 4, immediately after the rash of sightings, which kept law officers busy for two nights, an officer saw a helicopter lift off from what looked to be the Deaconess Hospital landing pad at the southeast edge of Great Falls. He checked with the hospital's emergency room the next morning and was told that no helicopters had landed there during the night.

Late on the afternoon of December 8 the sheriff's office received a report that a missile team had spotted a helicopter about 1,000 feet above Fox 10 missile site, west of Choteau and Augusta, in the mountains. It was also seen from Fox 9 and was believed to be headed toward that site. About an hour later Malmstrom notified the sheriff's office that there were lights on the ground two miles south of the Fox 10 site. There are no roads into this particular area and, in addition, the lights appeared too far apart to be the headlights of a car.

At about the same time a Vaughn resident reported seeing three small helicopters flying in the area of Bootlegger Trail. He said one was at an altitude of about 50 feet and the other two at about 150 feet. All were dark colored.

A check with the NORAD command center showed no evidence of the choppers on radar. NORAD confirmed that all Malmstrom helicopters were in.

A short time later a report was received that a woman living near Portage had noticed some men around her farm machinery, but when they saw her they jumped in their helicopters and left. She told officers she saw two helicopters in a wheat field about 50 yards from the house.

A report was also received from the sheriff at Fort Benton, who thought one helicopter was flying toward Great Falls while another was down in the Portage area.

The mystery was solved—at least this time—when an officer in the Canadian Air Force phoned the Cascade County Sheriff's Department later in the evening to tell officers he was flying the helicopter that went down near Portage, due to engine trouble. He said he landed as near a residence as possible and knocked on the door, but no one was home.

Another Canadian chopper pilot came to his rescue, helped him repair his craft and they both took off for Malmstrom. The distraught residents who reported seeing the helicopters were informed by the sheriff's office that there was nothing to be alarmed about.

A few days later, Malmstrom notified the Cascade County Sheriff's Department that crews at a missile site near Ulm, south of Great Falls, had reported seeing three helicopters flying at 150 feet altitude around the site. They said they frightened one away by shining a large light on it.

Sheriff's officers were on their way to the site when they received a radio message to "disregard" as the choppers had been identified as belonging to the Army National Guard.

The incident was almost forgotten when it happened to come up in conversation some time later between Captain Wolverton and an Army National Guard official. The latter denied the choppers had belonged to the National Guard.

In some instances, the sightings of unidentified helicopters had a logical explanation, but in most cases they didn't.

Chouteau County deputies reported seeing two helicopters flying about 300 to 400 feet above the ground. They were described as having a large red light and a white strobe light.

NORAD confirmed no Air Force choppers were out and said they could not locate the crafts on radar.

Reports of unidentified helicopters continued to come in from different areas. RAPCON reported a chopper sighting between Ulm and Cascade on December 22. And a Great Falls man reported hearing a helicopter fly over him while he was camped in Prickly Pear Canyon February 18.

These and all other reports were recorded as officers continued to piece together the parts of a baffling puzzle.

## 8 Teenage girls chased by UFO

During their entire investigation, Cascade County officers have sought to verify any possible connection between the cattle mutilations and the reports of UFOs, unidentified helicopters, strange lights and noises and the hairy creatures. In some instances there has seemed to be a connection, but it has been frustratingly difficult to prove.

A case in point is a cow that was mutilated in late July 1975, on Ashlot Bench north of Fort Shaw. As in many other cases, the cow's teats had been cut off, apparently with an extremely sharp instrument.

But in contrast to other cases where there was no sign of struggle, or tracks or other evidence around the animal, the grass this time was trampled down in a none foot radius around the carcass. Although a sample of the grass was tested at a laboratory, nothing conclusive was indicated.

But what particularly interested officers this time was the rancher's report that he was a red light blinking in a field where the cow was later found. He watched the light take off down a road. At the time, he said, he thought it was a highway patrol car. When officers checked, they verified that there were no highway patrol or sheriff's cars in that area at that time.

[Photos 23–24]

A short time later, a rancher's young son was out irrigating on his motorcycle when he saw a red light going through a field. It aroused his curiosity because he knew there was no way for a vehicle to get into that field. Since his motorcycle was running, the youth was unable to say whether there was any sound coming from the object with the red light.

Shortly thereafter, the rancher discovered that two of his cows were missing.

They have never been found.

Later, one of their neighbors who had a cow mutilated also reported seeing a red light going down the road near the site.

The records in the Cascade County Sheriff's Office are filled with numerous reports of UFO sightings. In a number of cases, the person reporting the sighting was able to give officers a vivid description of the strange craft. These varied from a saucer-shaped craft or something that looked like a giant pear, to a large object that looked like a two-story building with lights resembling windows.

Often the viewer could see nothing but the bright light coming from the object. Officers explained this would be like meeting a car on the highway at night and being unable to describe the car because of the brightness of its headlights.

Sometimes a UFO seemed to chase cars or fly over airplanes. At times a strange object appeared to land, or to hover over a certain place.

A Raynesford farmer, reporting a UFO he saw, estimated the speed at which it ascended straight up at exceeding 5,400 miles per hour. When he first phoned in the report, Judith Basin County authorities suggested it could possibly be a bright star or planet in the sky.

“Then why can I see a mountain behind it?” he asked.

At least one person reported a daylight sighting of two UFOs and was even able to draw a sketch of what he saw for officers.

The man, who lives at Vaughn, a short distance northwest of Great Falls, said he was driving on the interstate about dusk when he saw two UFOs flying from south to north.

He said each object had a domed top, which glowed. There was a revolving blue and white light on the bottom of the crafts and lightning bolts as long as the craft itself came out of the bottom of one craft at intervals.

He said the two objects were not traveling fast and he was able to observe them for about five minutes.

Curiously, the reports of UFOs and the reports of unidentified helicopters started coming in about the same time, in the summer of 1975, although there had been some isolated calls before that time. It is obvious that the two are not the same thing, since eyewitnesses have described both the UFOs and the helicopters and they bear little resemblance. Some of the bright lights, however, could conceivably be either one.

Two teenage girls, who live at Geyser, southeast of Great Falls, were badly frightened when they were apparently chased by a UFO as they drove home on the highway.

[Photos 25 (UFO sketch)]

They told Judith Basin County Undersheriff Jerry Skelton that they saw a strange object hovering over their car as they drove along the highway. It continued to stay above their car as they hurried home.

When the badly shaken girls reached their home, they ran inside and told other members of their family. All of them went outside and viewed the UFO, still hovering above the house. It left a short time later.

Like some of the unidentified helicopters, some of the UFOs seemed to make no noise. A Fort Shaw man, who watched a strange blue and white light among some trees near his home, told officers he heard no noise at all.

A veterinarian, who incidentally helped investigate some of the cattle mutilations, was driving south of Bowman’s Corner when he saw a strange white light in the sky,

with a red light on the left side. He told officers the light kept disappearing and then returning. He estimated his distance from the object at five miles.

An interesting and possible significant sidelight to the veterinarian's report was his comment that the cattle in the area started bawling "louder than I have ever heard."

Two days later a Great Falls man reported seeing a very bright light early in the morning, about 6:30 a.m. He said the light seemed to be just southeast of Malmstrom Air Force Base. It reminded him of an aircraft's landing light, except it did not seem to be moving.

He discounted the possibility of it being a star, since he could see stars behind it.

He watched the light for about 11 city blocks as he drove home.

When his mother-in-law heard about what he had seen, she went outside and watched it, too. Later, several other people viewed it. "I don't know when the light left," the man said.

The sighting may have been only a prologue because a little more than 36 hours later, Air Force and law enforcement officials put in a night they will long remember.

The series of sightings began about 9 p.m. the evening of October 18, 1975, and continued until 7:45 the next morning.

Base Operations at Malmstrom confirmed that they had reports on nine different sightings in the Cascade and Eden areas just south of Great Falls. At the same time law enforcement officers were getting reports from north of Great Falls as far as Shelby.

The first report received by the Cascade County Sheriff's office was at 4:20 a.m. and came from the sheriff's office at Shelby. Officers there reported they had a UFO in sight and that it was moving from one location to another at an extremely high rate of speed. They estimated the altitude from 2,000 to 5,000 feet.

Malmstrom officials were immediately notified and they replied that they had been receiving reports since 9 p.m. the previous evening. Both Malmstrom and NORAD confirmed that the object was not a conventional aircraft and asked that they be given all possible information on it.

They had a description when a Shelby officer phoned in at 4:53 a.m. to say that the object was very close. He described it as a "white light, red flame after, green light on top, red lights on both sides."

About 15 minutes later Shelby reported that the UFO was climbing and headed west.

At 6:04 a.m. an officer patrolling in the Power area, a short distance northwest of Great Falls, reported seeing the object over Conrad, between him and Shelby. He said it kept changing color from red to white and kept changing altitude slowly.

The situation was growing tense, and the sheriff's office sent an officer to Base Operations at Malmstrom to help as radio coordinator.

In the meantime, the patrolling officer had reached Dutton as he traveled north toward Conrad and Shelby. He reported the object was very low and then suddenly shot straight up until it was fairly high. Eleven minutes later, at 6:30 a.m. he radioed that the object appeared to be heading east from Conrad and was more brilliant in color.

The sheriff's office at Shelby said the strange object had also been seen by Montana Fish and Game officials. Its location was estimated southwest of Conrad.

Twelve minutes later the patrolling officer reported the object south and east of Chester, between that town and Fort Benton. This location is 40 to 50 miles east of the area near Conrad where it was seen 12 minutes earlier.

The officer said the UFO was gaining altitude and appeared smaller. At 7:29 a.m. another officer reported the object was still in view in the east. His sighting was the last one reported during the hectic night.

Air Force officials said the UFO seen in the Cascade-Eden area seemed to have the same features as the one seen farther north, except at one time a flame came from the bottom of the object, emitting small lightning bolt-type object. This coincided with the description given earlier by the Vaughn man.

Some Air Force personnel apparently got a good look at the object as they reported that at one time their Air Force vehicle, which was in Cascade at the time, was not more than a mile from the object.

A woman who lives east of Great Falls near the Highwood Mountains also had a close-up view of an unidentified flying object. Her sighting was October 17, the evening before the many sightings that kept law officers so busy.

Captain Wolverton interviewed the woman who told of seeing a huge craft, as large as a DC 10 fly over her house about 10:30 p.m. She couldn't estimate its altitude, but said it was about as high as helicopters usually fly.

She described the object as having lights all the way around it and said she could hear no noise from it. She watched it for about 30 seconds from a window of her mobile home.

The woman's neighbor said she did not see the craft herself, but her son did. She added that the same evening, between 7:30 and 8:30 p.m. she and four other people saw something between her house and her neighbor's mobile home. She described it as a large green light with a white light behind it. She said it came over a hill and down the valley by a haystack and then disappeared.

The huge size of the UFO coincided with a number of other reports from people who described what they saw as being as large as a hotel.



## 9 Egg-shaped craft with arms

As UFO sightings were publicized by the news media in Montana, there was bound to be a number of honest mistakes by individuals who mistook an extremely bright star for a UFO.

A report of six UFOs near Raynesford turned out to be Venus, Mars and a constellation of stars. What two great Falls girls thought was a UFO was believed to be a beacon light. A sighting near Fairfield was believed to be another planet.

But not all sightings could be explained that easily. In fact, most reports defied explanation.

There was the case of the UFO that paced a B-52. It was reported to Sheriff Pete Howard at his office in Choteau. He said a Montana Fish and Game Department employee told of watching a UFO fly above a B-52 as the plane was flying over Freeze-out Lake where the man worked.

The man said he first saw the B-52 and then noticed another light flying directly over the plane. Using his rifle scope to get a better look, he noted that the strange object seemed to be pacing the B-52. In a short time the UFO climbed out of sight.

The B-52 was flying in an easterly direction, he told officers.

The same evening the Cascade County Sheriff's Office had a first hand account of a UFO sighting when Captain Wolverton and Deputy Anderson saw one as they were returning to Great Falls from Missoula.

If either of the two men had blinked his eyes he might have missed seeing the strange object, as it was traveling so rapidly it was within their range of vision only four seconds. The dull orange or tan object passed within a quarter of a mile of the front of their car, at an elevation of about 500 feet. It was traveling from north to south-southeast.

The two officers said it was impossible for them to determine its size or shape in the brief time they saw it.

A couple of nights earlier Malmstrom notified the sheriff's office that two UFOs had been sighted south of Moore in the Lewistown area. The objects appeared as a bright white light with a whitish beam descending toward the ground.

About this same time the Cascade County Sheriff's Office learned that a woman at Stockett had observed a UFO hovering in the sky for a period of from one to two hours. She said it appeared to be a flashing red and white light.

Apparently UFOs come in different colors as reports have varied in this respect. Law officers at Chester reported seeing a UFO, that had green and blue lights, ten miles southwest of that community.

A Great Falls man, traveling on the freeway by Ulm, said he and his friends saw a UFO with a red light and a green light. The object appeared stationary.

A state official, while traveling one evening, reported seeing UFOs near Fort Benton and north of Carter. He said both of them cast a bluish light.

Perhaps one of the most unusual descriptions of a UFO was given to Teton County Sheriff Pete Howard by a ranch couple in that area.

They had an excellent opportunity to get a description of the craft as it was about five-eighths of a mile from their house and they watched it through field glasses. They also had the advantage of daylight, since it happened about 4:30 p.m.

They described the craft as egg shaped with the large end on the ground. It had one light which had a dull glow and then became brighter. They described the light as yellowish gold or light orange.

The most unusual part of the description was the fact the object had two appendages which came out of it, one on each side. The two arms made a continual motion, like a breast stroke. The craft remained stationary for five minutes, then the appendages retracted into the craft and it went straight up and out of sight.

The possible connection between UFOs and cattle mutilations again came to the forefront when a Simms rancher reported that his wife and children had seen a UFO land near the place where he had left a dead calf. He later discovered that the carcass had been mutilated after he placed it there.

There were actually two UFO landings at the ranch—one on December 8 and one the following night. The second incident was the same night that Captain Wolverton and Deputy Anderson sighted a UFO as they were traveling home and the same night that the B-52 was paced by a UFO.

The rancher was reluctant at first to talk about the incidents for fear people would think he was “*silly*.” A little later, realizing the seriousness of the situation, he gave officers a full report and encouraged his wife to tell them about the extraordinary happening, too.

The calf died about five days earlier. The rancher had dragged it down into a brushy area near the Sun River, about a half mile from the house. The area was inaccessible by road except by going through the ranchhouse yard.

On the evening of December 8, about 6 or 6:30 p.m. the rancher’s wife and children saw a UFO land in the area where the calf’s body was. They said it descended from the air, stayed down a short time and then took off in a westerly direction toward Augusta.

The woman described the object as a large white light with a red light on top. When it ascended, she said it appeared to roll on its side, as the red light was no longer on top, but on the side. As it left, it was flying at a low level.

The object, or one like it, returned at almost the exact hour the next evening. This time it stayed only about one minute, and instead of heading west, it flew east.

Captain Wolverton and two other deputies, after interviewing the woman, examined the dead calf. The bag area had been removed and the cut marks around the

wound were consistent with those found in earlier cases that pathologists had verified were made with a very sharp instrument. Samples of the hide were sent to a laboratory for analysis.

The rancher verified that there were no marks on the calf when he had last observed it, two days before the first landing.

He told the officers that he planned to go home from work early, load all his weapons and wait for the “thing” to shoot it down.

Three deputies returned to the house the evening of Dec. 10 with photographic equipment so that they would be prepared to get pictures should the UFO make a third landing.

They were disappointed, as nothing happened.

About ten days later another report of a UFO landing came in from the Lewistown area. An Air Force missile crew, traveling between Roy and Fergus, northeast of Lewistown, late at night, said they saw an object on the ground that was glowing and pulsating. They reported watching it for five to ten minutes.

The Fergus County Sheriff’s Office at Lewistown was notified and a deputy went out to investigate, but he was unable to find anything.

A couple of nights earlier, the Air Force had some hectic moments when an unidentified flying object was sighted over Fairfield and was tracked on radar for about an hour and a half.

The oblong-shaped object was first locked in on radar at 8,000 feet. A little later it was fluctuating between 9,000 and 18,000 feet.

A commercial airlines plane flying in the vicinity was contacted by NORAD to see if visual contact could be made, but the pilot was unable to see it.

Within a short time, the radar contact was lost but law officers working in the area could still see the object. Radar contact was again made, locking the object in at 14,100 feet as it moved very slowly in a northwesterly direction. The object was also located by radar equipment at Havre. Air Force officials said the object was in the Power-Fairfield area, but they could not pinpoint it any better.

At one point during the tracking, when the UFO was in the Dutton area, radar showed the object suddenly ascending vertically from 14,750 feet to 44,400 feet at 850 knots or approximately 1,000 miles per hour and then stopping suddenly.

This maneuver startled military officials and law officers.

A few days later another Cascade County deputy had an opportunity to see a UFO, as Captain Wolverton and Deputy Anderson had.

Deputy Barry Michelotti and two friends were on a hunting trip and were camped in the Ford Creek area near Augusta.

Describing the incident, Deputy Michelotti said,

We were sleeping in my horse trailer that night with two horses tied to the back of the trailer. About midnight I was awakened by the horses.

They were creating a disturbance, pulling back on the ropes, whinnying and pawing the ground. I looked up and noticed an object, described best as an object shaped like a football. This object was a solid white light, no flashing, glare or any beams of smoke or light. The object was at least five times as large as a star and was moving horizontally across the sky heading north. I woke up one of the other fellows and we watched it for a few short seconds. It was moving at a very rapid speed. After it left our sight I checked the horses and one was missing. I heard it down the road running. I called and it returned but it was very nervous which is unusual for this horse. Both horses simmered down and there was no further trouble.

Captain Wolverton got a second look at a UFO on January 17, 1976, but again it was a brief one. He was driving at dusk on the lower river road just out of Great Falls when he saw an orange or amber colored light traveling from east to northwest. It was going so fast that he was only able to see it one or two seconds.

He checked with Malmstrom, but they had nothing on radar.

Just a week earlier, on January 10, a Belt area rancher noticed two red lights over his barn and corral as he was driving home along a country road. It was about 11 p.m. When he reached the approach to his place, he stopped in order to take a closer look at the lights. He noticed the red lights were pulsating and then a bright white light appeared, beamed toward the ground.

The rancher rolled down the window of his vehicle to try to hear whatever it was, but there was no noise coming from it.

The white light went off and the object started to move north. It went a distance, then stopped and the white light came on again. It disappeared, shortly, to the north.

The rancher described the craft as about 25 to 30 feet wide and said it appeared to be about 500 feet above the ground.

He checked his livestock, but they seemed all right and were not restless.

The incident made him recall something that had happened a couple of months earlier. During the night a bright white light lit up the interior of his home, waking his son and a friend who had been sleeping with him. The boys told the rancher, who went outside but was unable to see anything unusual.

On the same day, and in fact the same hour that Captain Wolverton saw his second UFO, a Great Falls man had an unusual experience at his ranch west of Augusta. The man reported that he was outside when he happened to notice, out of the corner of his eye, a strange object in the sky. He described it as pear shaped, about the size of a very large light bulb and a very bright incandescent type color, almost like a ball of fire. He said it was traveling about 60 to 70 feet off the ground in a west to east direction.

The object appeared to burn out directly over his yard. “At the end, pieces seemed to fall off the tail of it and burn up and the whole thing appeared to burn up in a manner that I visualized a large ball from a Roman candle,” the man told authorities.

He said his first reaction was that it was a meteor, but as he thought about it he decided it would have been dropping to the ground, which this object didn’t do. He commented that it was odd that the object, while in flight, seemed to maintain the same altitude at all times while he observed it, which was over a distance of approximately 100 yards.

A few seconds after the object burned up, the man heard a sonic boom a distance away.

## 10 One big one, four little ones

It was about 6 a.m., two days before Christmas, as a young woman was driving to work at the Great Falls International Airport. Although it was the season of the year for bright lights, she was in no way prepared for what she saw.

As she topped the hill where the airport is located, she saw a strange craft that was either on the ground or barely above it. The size of the egg-shaped object, she told authorities later, was “as large as a two-story building.” It had three red lights in a cluster on top, she said, and there were windows in the bottom part.

Suddenly the strange object took off from in front of her car. It appeared to fly beside her for a time and then headed down the valley toward the city.

She had watched it for a total of about ten minutes, giving her a pretty good opportunity to see what it looked like.

When she related her strange experience to one of the men in the Federal Aviation Administration tower, he admitted that he had seen it too, but he wasn't going to talk about it!

The young woman felt different. “I saw it and it was there and I don't care who believes me!” she told law officers.

Less than an hour later, at 6:45 a.m., another woman who works at the airport also saw something strange in the sky over the airport. Whether it was the same object as the previous woman had seen could not be established.

The second woman said the craft had two bright white lights on each end and a triangular blinking red light in the middle. It seemed to be hovering a little higher than the airport tower and she estimated it was about three blocks away.

After watching the object for about five minutes, she went on in to work as it continued to hover over the area.

Perhaps seeing UFOs was beginning to be “old hat” for her, for it was her second sighting that month and both times were at the airport. On Dec. 11, as she was coming to work about 7 a.m., she saw a strange object, ahead of her and beside the overpass. She said it seemed to be about ten feet above the ground and was stationary.

As she slowed down on approaching it, the UFO took off rapidly toward the west and soon disappeared. She had observed it for about three minutes.

Drawing a sketch to illustrate what she saw, the woman told officers the large, oblong object had red lights on the sides and threw light beams to the ground. She added that it was definitely not a helicopter.

Reports of UFO sightings continued to come in and one of the most unusual incidents was that of a Fairfield area rancher, who described a “hotel size” UFO that was accompanied by four smaller ones.

The man told authorities that he and his family were returning to their home west of Fairfield about 9 p.m. the night of January 21, 1976, when his two teenage sons

noticed an object in a field near their home. Using two pair of seven-power field glasses, the family watched as four separate crafts, identifiable only as lights, seemed to cavort among a grove of trees about three-quarters of a mile away.

The rancher was interviewed by law officers and he related,

By this time these lights were coming back and forth out from behind this row of trees. And they appeared at that time to be landing in the field and so forth. So we watched them for quite a while, probably twenty minutes there with the field glasses on.

About this time we saw two red blinkers down on the highway and I said, "Well, somebody has reported it and the sheriff or somebody is out there now with a patrol car watching them, so we'll go on down, too."

So I took the two boys and jumped in the pickup and as we turned the corner off our approach onto the main highway, why these red things that we thought were patrol cars they then floated off the highway over into this field still blinking, or they were then. So we drove on down there and then as we approached the field where they had gone, why we saw this structure that looked like a hotel. Two story hotel sitting out there in the field and the other lights were grouped around it. About four sets of lights grouped around it.

The rancher said the larger object appeared to be sitting on the ground. Although he could not make out the outline of the smaller objects, he said with the field glasses he was able to see the outline of the large UFO. He said it was a rectangular shape about 300 to 400 feet long and 60 feet in height, with two rows of windows, five to six feet high and two to three feet wide.

As he and his boys watched, the smaller objects came close to the large UFO, hovered about it, changed places and darted away, only to return again. He said they seemed to be affiliated with it, but he did not actually see any of them enter the large object or any come out of it.

Occasionally some of the smaller objects would land in the stubble field. When they did, their light seemed to fade and grow dim and they actually seemed to shrink in size. As they watched, the objects would flare up and become very bright. The rancher compared them with an acetylene torch and said that it would hurt a person's eyes to look at them very long. At their largest size, the smaller UFOs appeared to be ten to 14 feet in diameter.

At one point the man and his sons saw what they thought was a helicopter with flashing red lights approaching. "We said, 'Well, here comes a helicopter to look at this stuff,' the man told officers. "So it came right over the field and no helicopter. And then my boy said, 'That ain't no helicopter, it's just another one of those, isn't it?' And I said, 'Yes, it is.'"

As they watched, the newest arrival tilted on its side while flying, revealing that it was flat on one side and round on the other, like a ball cut in half, or half a sphere.

Slowly and gradually, the “hotel” UFO started moving away from the viewers and disappeared over the horizon, into the Sun River Valley.

The smaller objects stayed in view for perhaps another 45 minutes as the man and his sons watched, and they too disappeared in the same direction as the larger UFO.

The rancher and his boys got back in their pickup and drove farther down the highway, where they came upon a group of six small UFOs in a field. They believed this was a different group than the first ones they saw because the others had gone directly south and these when they left, went east and south.

At one point, one of the objects started up the highway toward the pickup truck. “My youngest boy said, ‘Dad, that one’s going to come right over us, right on the highway,’” the farmer related. “But it didn’t. It veered off and went back out in the field again.”

He estimated that it came as close as 100 to 200 yards before turning. During all the rest of the sighting, the closest the man and his sons were able to get to the objects was about 500 yards.

He was asked if the presence of the UFOs affect his motor, and he replied, “Never affected the lights. Never affected the motor or anything like that.”

At one point he shut off the lights to try to get a better view of the UFOs.

The incident was not the rancher’s first experience with UFOs. He told officers that about 14 years ago he saw one in approximately the same location. He said it was large and similar to the “hotel type” he had seen this time, but it did differ in that its windows were round instead of rectangular.

He said he did not tell anyone except his family about it that time, but this time, he said, “I don’t really care if anyone believes this or not. So I’m going to tell you what I saw.”

A report of a UFO landing came in from the Simms area February 5, 1976, and for the first time in their long and frustrating investigation, officers arrived on the scene in time to see the object for themselves. But they were thwarted in their attempts to get a closer look.

The call came in from a woman who was caring for some neighbor children while their parents were on a trip. She said she and her own two daughters were returning home from Simms when they saw a bright light behind the house and up on top of the hill. The woman stopped the car and they watched the light, which was very intense and pulsated when it was moving. It appeared to change color from a dull glow to a bright reddish orange.

She described the object as about 300 feet long with rows of continuous lights along the top and bottom. She said the bottom lights pulsated alternately from left to right.



One of the girls she was caring for, a 16-year-old, saw the glow from a second floor window of her home.

After moving back and forth, the object left in a southwesterly direction, disappearing behind some buttes.

Shortly after officers arrived on the scene, they received a radio message from Sheriff Pete Howard, saying the lights were visible in the Sunny Slope area near Fairfield, not far from where the rancher and his two sons had watched the UFOs.

They were able to see the light, but, because of the hilly terrain, could not get closer to it. After two hours of trying to get closer, they lost sight of it entirely.

Teton County Sheriff Pete Howard received a report approximately two weeks later from a family who lives in the Rocky Mountains west of Choteau.

While telling Sheriff Howard about a UFO they had seen the morning of February 22, the couple also said they had seen UFOs on at least five other occasions and some of their employees had also seen some.

Most of the appearances were around 7 o'clock in the morning. In the case of the February 22 sighting, the man had just stepped out on the upper deck of their home to put up the flag when he noticed a very large object moving very slowly. It had apparently come out from behind one mountain and was starting to go behind another mountain peak.

In his excitement the man said he called to his wife and children to step outside and see it, but there was some delay as they didn't know at first what he wanted and then they had trouble getting the door open. He estimated he watched the object for about 30 to 40 seconds, but the rest of the family, only ten seconds.

The man said he didn't have an opportunity to get field glasses, but he described the object as large and elliptical in shape. He said it seemed to have a row of lights around the circumference.

The incident was the fifth time since the first of the year that the couple had seen UFOs. In one instance, the object appeared to be very low, in a canyon. Three sightings were in the mountains and the others on the flats below.

The couple pointed out that each time they had seen a UFO was on an extremely windy day with very little cloud cover.

It was one of many reports.

A Havre woman told how she and her son, while traveling near Chief Joseph Battleground, saw "an enormous ball in the sky." She described it as bright Kelly green with a tail of gold sparks like fireworks.

A Helena woman wrote about seeing a gigantic light that lit up the entire western sky when she was driving near Helena.

On the same Sunday evening of early March 1975, two Belt women, returning home separately from Great Falls, each saw an unidentified flying object near the highway.

The one woman, who was traveling alone, said she saw a white light, as big as her car, hovering over her about 0 feet above the highway. She told officers she drove the rest of the way to Belt at a high rate of speed, hoping to attract a highway patrolman.

The other woman had her two teenage daughters with her. At first, she said, they thought the bright light they saw was a helicopter, but when they got closer to it and it remained stationary, they decided it couldn't be a helicopter.

The egg-shaped object had two lights beamed from the front of it to the ground, but the three people watching it were mystified by the fact there was no circle of light on the ground where the beams were shining.

The woman told Captain Wolverton that at first she was extremely frightened, but suddenly a strange feeling of calm came over her and the two girls. One of the girls even laid down in the seat of the car in order to look up under the object, which was close beside the highway.

As suddenly as it had come, the feeling of calm left them and the woman said she "floorboarded" the car and got home as rapidly as possible.

To corroborate their story, she had each of her daughters and herself separately tell her waiting husband what they had seen. Each told the same story, although the girls had a few more minor details. "I had to drive, you know," the woman said.

Both women waited until some time later to report the sightings, but the incidents took on added significance when the dates were checked and it was learned that a calf was mutilated apparently one or two nights before.

The place where the first woman saw the UFO was in the immediate area of the mutilation, while the second sighting was about four miles away.

Another unusual experience was related to law officers by a Bozeman man. He said he was driving south of August just before dark on February 6, 1976, when he noticed a car about a half mile behind him. He said the area was flat with no turnoffs from the highway. He had been looking into his rear view mirror frequently, and this car seemed to "appear out of nowhere."

He said the car followed him for six or seven miles, so when he came to a turnoff, he pulled off to wait for it to go by. There was a dip in the highway just before the turnoff and he waited for the car to come out of the dip, but it didn't.

He finally continued on down the road and the car appeared again. When he reached Bowman's Corner, he pulled off again and waited for it to go by, but again it never appeared.

By strange coincidence, the same thing happened to his employer on an earlier night, in almost the exact same spot.

## 11 Hairy creatures, eight feet tall

Law officers have been unable so far to find an explanation for the sequence in which the strange events have occurred.

First there was the rash of cattle mutilations, then the many sightings of unidentified helicopters, followed by numerous UFO sightings and—more recently—the reports of people who saw one or more strange hairy creatures that walked upright like a man.

There was overlapping, but one type of activity seemed to decline as another started. Was it a piece of the puzzle or purely coincidence? Speculation seemed futile.

The first report officers received of the hairy creatures came December 26, 1975, from two very badly frightened junior high age girls. One girl, who lives in Great Falls, was spending the Christmas holidays with another girl in the Vaughn area, and it was here the incident occurred.

The girls told the deputies that in the late afternoon they noticed the horses were acting very strangely, pawing the ground and rearing on their hind legs. They went outside to see what was the matter and it was then they saw a strange creature, about 200 yards from their mobile home and 25 yards or so away from a thicket.

The Great Falls girl found a .22 rifle belonging to her friend's father and looked through the scope at the creature. She described its face as "dark and awful looking and not like a human's." The girls said the creature was seven to seven and a half feet tall and twice as wide as a man.

To see if she could frighten the creature, the girl with the rifle fired it into the air. When nothing happened, she waited a short time, and fired into the air again. The second time the creature dropped to the ground and pulled itself along with its arms for a short distance before standing erect once more.

The girls decided they had seen enough!

They took off running, intent on finding someone who would take them to Great Falls, where they could wait for the Vaughn girl's mother to get off work.

One of the girls looked back over her shoulder and saw several other creatures—she thought three or four—helping the first one back into the thicket.

The girls swore their story was true and their obvious fright reinforced what they had said. Later they both willingly took a polygraph test which further indicated they were telling the truth.

The night following the incident, Undersheriff Glenn Osborne, Captain Keith Wolverton and Deputy Dick Gasvoda went to the area to search for the creatures or any evidence. While Undersheriff Osborne and Deputy Gasvoda went into the brush to try to "flush them out," Captain Wolverton waited in the clearing with a starlight scope, which permitted him to see in the dark.

No creatures were found, but the men did notice a number of trees broken off in the area, which is a similar observation noted at other sites where the creatures were seen.

When officers questioned the Vaughn girl's father later, he told of a hair-raising experience he had had shortly after midnight Christmas morning. He said he had awakened to a sound that he could only describe as like a human dying an agonizing death.

He said he took his flashlight and rifle and went outside to check, but his dog refused to go with him.

The awesome sounds have been reported in connection with seeing strange hairy creatures in several places in the United States. The Cascade County sheriff's Office has tapes of the sounds from California, Pennsylvania and Washington.

It's an eerie sound, to say the least, officers have noted.

Reports from some areas where the biped creatures have been seen say that they emit an unpleasant odor compared to rotten eggs.

Not satisfied with their night search of the area, Captain Wolverton returned the next day and walked the area where the creatures were seen, but he found no footprints. The following day he flew over the area and took pictures of it.

In a telephone conversation with a writer in another state who was writing a book on the strange creatures, Captain Wolverton was told that reports of sightings have come from all over the United States. The writer said he had been told that the creatures do not leave tracks, that they have been shot at but it apparently doesn't hurt them, plus at times the creatures appear transparent. He added the bipeds have been observed in connection with UFOs.

The theory that bullets don't stop them was evidently shared by a Great Falls man who gave officers a belated report of seeing a large, hairy creature while hunting coyotes on Bootlegger Trail in December 1974. He described the creature as seven or eight feet tall and said it looked like a grizzly bear. He said he fired at it three times with his 30.30 and when it kept coming toward him, he left hurriedly in his car.

It was about a month after the girls' terrifying experience that a man living two or three miles west of Vaughn, reported hearing the same disturbing sound the Vaughn girl's father heard on Christmas Eve. He, too, likened it to the scream of a man dying an agonizing death. He said it lasted about five minutes.

He looked out the bathroom window, but was unable to see anything. His dogs, which are ordinarily very aggressive and bark loudly when anyone approaches, were standing next to the house, making faint barking sounds.

Early in February 1976, a woman in Babb phoned to tell officers that she and her husband had heard the same kind of weird sound twice during the preceding summer. She likened the sound to a werewolf. Again, the dogs appeared to be terrified.

She said other residents of Babb had heard the sound, too, and she understood a man from Browning had seen “Bigfoot” on Logan Pass in Glacier Park the preceding summer. Authorities did not have the man’s name so they could not check the story.

Captain Wolverton received a report from an airman on February 11, 1976, who said he had seen footprints in the snow that appeared to be those of an animal walking upright. The tracks, found in the vicinity of Beaver Creek, in the Rocky Mountains west of August, had three toes.

The airman also reported that some of the green pine trees in the area were broken down. Deep snow prevented Captain Wolverton from reaching the area, but he did fly over it. However, he was unable to see anything unusual.

A few days later a Great Falls woman reported she had heard the strange sounds twice—once between Christmas and New Year’s and once in January.

By far the most startling report so far and the one that produced the most evidence, was one from a 16-year-old Helena boy. When Captain Wolverton learned of the incident, he and Deputy Ken Anderson went to Helena to interview the boy and his family.

The youth told the officers that he had awakened about 4:30 a.m. the morning of April 4, 1976, and stood looking out his bedroom window for a time. The second story window provides a good view of the pasture to the east of the house.

At about 5 a.m., the boy told the officers, he saw a tall, hairy creature walking in the pasture, coming from the south, or right. He said the creature was taking long strides and his arms moved back and forth as a person’s would.

The creature did not appear to have a neck but it was capable of turning its head and it appeared to be looking around. Except for its face, it was covered entirely with brown or black hair about an inch to an inch and a half long.

The youth was unable to describe the face, but said the forehead protruded out then upward to a rounded head. The nose looked pushed in. He didn’t notice any ears. In some respects the description matched that given of strange creatures seen in Pennsylvania earlier.

When the creature moved, the boy said it walked very smoothly without stooping and did not appear to bend its knees much.

When the creature’s arms were at its side, the arms were as thick through from front to back as its body. The creature’s back seemed to go straight down to below the buttocks, without any curve at the small of the back. The youth estimated the creature’s height at eight feet. He claimed he was misquoted in a newspaper account as saying the creature was ten feet tall, and he was upset over being misquoted.

As the boy watched, the creature kept walking until it was directly east of the house, at which time it was joined by a second hairy creature. The second one was about a head shorter than the first, but just as wide and the color was the same.

[Photos 26 (sketch) and 27]

At this point, the boy related, the larger creature reached down and picked up something dark colored, “about the size of a bale of hay.” Something was flapping from the ends of the object, which looked similar to a piece of dark plastic.

The larger creature handed the object to the smaller creature, who carried it.

The larger hairy creature then started walking straight toward the house. When it was within about 100 feet of the house, it looked directly toward the window where the boy was watching.

The boy vaulted downstairs to wake his father and the two returned to the window in not more than two minutes, but when they looked out the strange creatures were gone. Even the use of 10 power binoculars failed to reveal anything.

The boy appeared badly shaken by the ordeal.

Later in the day, the boy’s sister found a track in the pasture. She and the boy covered it with wood until the next day when they made a plaster cast of it.

Captain Wolverton and Deputy Anderson made their own cast from the one the youth had. The track measures 17½ inches long and 7 inches wide and appears to have three toes.

The boy drew three sketches of the creature for officers. The drawings are on file in the Cascade County Sheriff’s Office.

In talking with the boy’s father, the deputies learned of another incident that happened 12 days before the boy saw the creatures.

The father said he was taking a bath when he heard something outside his bathroom window, dressed and went outside where he found a large print in the five inches of snow below the window. He said he couldn’t make any shape out of it but on either side of the track and a little forward were two different scratch marks in the snow. Each mark had five scratches indicated in the snow.

The boy’s mother also confirmed his story and added that during the two months previous a number of strange things had been happening. She told of hearing sounds like something heavy running outside and their dog being scared. She added that they had heard weird sounds like an elk bugling or dogs howling, both before and after her son saw the strange creatures.

The officers were also told that a 13-year-old boy who lives in the same area had also reported seeing one of the hairy creatures.

As officers searched the pasture area where the two creatures were seen, they noticed both cattle tracks and horse prints. When they asked the boy’s father when the horses were taken out of the pasture, he replied, “There’s never been horses in that pasture.”

The boy said he had taken a lot of kidding about seeing the creatures, but he wasn’t kidded by the officers. They did not doubt him and Captain Wolverton added

to his official report, “after talking to (the boy) and his family, I believe that (the boy) did see what he reported.”

## 12 False story fools public

Throughout the entire investigation, Cascade County deputies have meticulously sought to obtain the most accurate and factual information available. This has not always been easy, as memory plays tricks on people who are frightened or excited. And people find it difficult, sometimes, to describe something few others have ever seen.

Despite these obvious problems, officers have done everything possible to substantiate reports. They visited the scene of mutilations and sightings and searched for every shred of evidence. In some cases, they have questioned others living in the area. They also administered lie detector tests.

At times the officers personally knew the witness and could vouch for his reliability. And occasionally it was that sixth sense experienced lawmen seem to develop that helps them know when someone is telling the truth. It isn't always easy to spot a phony, but if anyone can weed them out, it often is a law officer.

However, there is at least one classic example of a man who told such a convincing (but untrue) story that he fooled not only officers but other people, including a writer whose story, based on the man's information, was published in a national magazine.

The writer, who wrote the story under a penname, later told Captain Wolverton that he did not know at the time the information was false and he now regrets writing the story.

The information for the story originated with a man (referred to in chapter three) who was a long term inmate in a midwest federal penitentiary. It is not certain where he obtained his so-called "information", but the best guess is through the prison grapevine. His story had just enough accurate information in it, including names of individuals, to give it credibility.

According to the convict's story, the cattle mutilations were the work of a cult headed by a man in Texas. The man he named does exist but extensive checking failed to link him to anything out of the ordinary.

Cattle mutilations were only the beginning, the convict said. He claimed that the mutilation of humans was next, and had already started. He said that four people had been mutilated at Lake Kozad, Nebraska. Captain Wolverton contacted the sheriff there, who told him that no bodies had been found and there were no reports of missing people in that area. It was the sheriff's opinion that the entire story was false.

Cultists were doing the cattle mutilations, the convict said, to see if they could get away with it. If not detected, they planned to start on humans next, including a number of prominent people in the political field, news media and Hollywood actors. He had what he claimed was a list of 35 people who were to be killed, including some from Montana.



Captain Wolverton and Deputy Arne Sand, a polygraph examiner, made a trip to the prison to interview the convict.

Deputy Sand administered a polygraph test to the man and he failed almost every question, including very simple ones. Checks made later on different aspects of his story all proved his tale false and none of the predictions the convict made have come true.

It was the officers' opinion after talking with the prisoner and checking his story that the whole thing was part of the convict's plan to obtain a release from prison or a transfer to a prison with less security.

In the meantime, the story caused considerable harm, as it had been reported by a number of newspapers as factual, including at least one newspaper in the north-central Montana area. Officers were concerned that the story would cause undue apprehension and even panic.

One curious aspect of the situation in Montana and other states is that the federal government does not seem to be doing any investigating of cattle mutilations. Or if it is, it is not sharing its information with local officials.

At one time during the investigation, Captain Wolverton received a phone call from a Department of Agriculture official in Washington, D.C., inquiring about cattle mutilations in Montana. He indicated in his conversation that he was very interested and said he would fly to Great Falls the following week to confer with the Cascade County Sheriff's Department on the incidents.

Captain Wolverton and Deputy Anderson worked frantically to assemble copies of all of their reports and photographs for the man.

He never arrived, nor was there any further communication from him.

The absence of any federal investigation into something so important to the safety and welfare of the American public has made law officers wonder whether or not someone in high circles knows what is behind the mutilations, UFOs, and other mysteries, but is not disclosing this information.

"Call it a gut feeling," Captain Wolverton said, "but I have a notion somebody knows what is going on."

The theory is only a wild guess, but it could explain why official Washington seems to be ignoring the entire situation.

If the federal government is ignoring the situation, some private organizations of national scope certainly are not. One in Pennsylvania has investigated several hundred incidents in that state and a spokesman for the group told Captain Wolverton over the telephone that "there is no doubt the things are for real."

He added that UFO sightings have been reported in many places in the world and have increased in recent months. He also ventured a guess that there was a link between the cattle mutilations and the strange hairy creatures that have been seen in several states.

The reports of hairy creatures received in that area, the spokesman said, have come from responsible people, some of whom were not well educated and live in back country where they would not have read newspaper accounts of similar incidents.

One man reported shooting one of the "creatures" from six feet with a double-barreled shotgun. He reported that it "disappeared in a flash of light."

In some instances the strange creatures have been seen carrying small animals in their arms and some have been seen in the same vicinity and at the same time as UFOs.

The creatures have been seen in populated, as well as wooded areas, although they seem to prefer the latter. Some have been described as having "glowing eyes" and people have reported that the creatures had a pungent odor resembling rotten eggs. Some have been seen swimming in water.

As in Montana cases, the man said otherwise aggressive dogs seem immobilized with fear and fail to bark at the creatures.

One theory being studied by those at the Pennsylvania center is that the creatures may be a psychic phenomena, visible to some and not to others and possibly non-physical.

This could at least explain why some people describe the creatures as transparent, report that bullets do not stop them and tell of the creatures disappearing before their eyes.

Another organization which is doing extensive research into the strange creatures, operates out of New Jersey. A man formerly affiliated with it in that state is now a deputy sheriff in southwestern Montana and is coordinating Montana's investigation with that in New Jersey.

He told Captain Wolverton that in one recent month a total of 244 substantiated reports of UFOs were received in one county in Pennsylvania. That area has been plagued for three years, he said, by numerous reports of UFO sightings, followed by cattle mutilations and then sightings of the hairy creatures.

Comparing reports from various parts of the country, it is discovered that the "creatures" vary in appearance, but all have some similarities. They are reported to have anywhere from three to five toes, in some areas they have canine-type fangs and the estimate of their size has varied.

A man with a research society in Michigan, in a telephone conversation with Captain Wolverton, said he once shot a "creature," which screamed and then disappeared into a swamp. He said the track it left was five-toed and measured 15 inches long and ten inches wide. The impression in the ground was one and a half inches deep.

The New Jersey organization is now using computers to study the information assembled thus far on UFOs, hairy creatures and mutilations. One conclusion reached, is that the incidents seem to happen mainly on hot, humid days in that area.

In any case, the incidents are taken seriously by those investigating them and every effort is being made to solve the mystery.

However, in all the checking Captain Wolverton and the Cascade County deputies have accomplished here and elsewhere, there have been absolutely no reports of any human being having been harmed by the “creatures.”

## 13 UFO report in 1897

Many people look upon the sighting of UFOs as something that has happened only in recent years. But there was at least one such incident almost 80 years ago, according to a story in a newspaper published at that time.

The strange episode reportedly took place in mid-April of 1897 on a farm in Kansas and involved the theft of a cow by the UFO, right before the farmer's eyes!

The news story, published in the Woodson County Advocate of April 23, 1897, relates the following incident, as told by the farmer, Alexander Hamilton of Vernon:

Last Monday night about half past ten o'clock we were awakened by a noise among the cattle. I arose, thinking perhaps my bulldog was performing some of the pranks, but upon getting to the door saw to my amazement, an airship slowly descending over my cow lot about 50 rods from the house. Calling Gib Heslop, my tenant, and my son, Wall, we seized some axes and ran to the corral. Meanwhile the ship had been gently descending until it was no more than 30 feet above the ground and we came up within 50 yards of it.

It consisted of a great cigar-shaped portion, possibly 300 feet long, with a carriage underneath. The carriage was made of panels of glass or other transparent substance, alternating with a narrow strip of some other material. It was brilliantly lighted within and everything was clearly visible. There were three lights, one like an immense searchlight and two smaller — one red (red) and the other green. The largest was susceptible of being turned in any direction. It was occupied by six of the strangest beings I ever saw. There were two men, a woman and three children. They were jabbering together but we could not understand a syllable they said.

Every part of the vessel that was not transparent was a dark reddish color. We stood mute in wonder and fright when some noise attracted our attention and they turned their light directly upon us. Immediately upon catching sight of us they turned on some unknown power and a great turbine wheel about thirty feet in diameter, which was slowly revolving below the craft, began to buzz, sounding precisely like the cylinder of a separator and the vessel rose as lightly as a bird. When about 300 feet above us it seemed to pause and hover directly over a three-year-old heifer which was bawling and jumping apparently fast in the fence. Going to her we found a cable about a half inch in thickness, made of some red material fastened in a slip knot around her neck, one end passing up to the vessel and tangled in the wire. We tried to get her off but could not so we cut the (fence) wire loose and stood in amazement to see the cow, ship and all rise slowly and sail off, disappearing in the northwest. We went home but I was frightened and could not sleep, but rose early Tuesday morning, mounted my horse

and started out hoping to find some trace of my cow. This I failed to do but coming back to LeRoy in the evening found that Lank Thomas, who lives in Coffey County, about three or four miles west of LeRoy had found the hind, legs and head in his field that day. He, thinking someone had butchered a stolen beast and thrown the hide away, had brought it to town for identification, but was greatly mystified in not being able to find any track of any kind on the soft ground.

I went home that night but every time I would drop off to sleep I would see that cursed thing with its big lights and hideous people. I don't know whether they were devils or angels or what, but we all saw them and my whole family saw the ship and I don't want any more to do with them.

The farmer's description of the craft bears a strong resemblance to those given by many who have seen UFOs in recent years. But what makes the 1897 account even more startling is that it happened almost seven years before the Wright Brothers made history with the first recorded airplane flight. And space travel was yet an undreamed of event of the future!

If the past was puzzling, so was the present, as reports continued to come in during the spring of 1976. Several incidents happened within a day or two of the first of May, which may or may not be significant.

Two cases of cattle mutilation were reported to the Cascade County Sheriff's Office April 29. One involved a cow belonging to a Castner Falls rancher. The Black Angus cow had been dead too many days for a successful autopsy, but it was obvious to the investigating officers that the tongue, an eye, an ear and the lips were gone.

The rectal area may have also been cut. The cow's head and neck had apparently been bent back at an angle to the right and a white fiber-looking substance covered one side of the cow. It resembled the petroleum distillate found on the calf in Judith Basin County but laboratory tests proved it was not the same substance.

The other mutilated animal was a yearling steer belonging to a Simms area rancher. The rectal area appeared to be cut and there was what appeared to be a puncture mark on the right side of the carcass.

The veterinarian who performed an autopsy on the steer noted that the steer had been eating up to the time of its death and had apparently been in good health.

An unusual factor, not encountered before, was a ruptured area, about four inches across, on the inside of the chest cavity. There was also a rupture the full length of the body on the animal's right side. The veterinarian commented that the ruptures were unusual. There were no external bruises.

Adding another note of mystery to the investigation was a report by a neighbor woman of the man who owned the steer that she had heard a "funny noise" three nights earlier, which frightened her. She said she was home alone, watching television,

about 11:30 p.m. when she noted that there seemed to be a lot of traffic going by. She turned off the lights leaving on the television, so she could watch for lights.

It was at this time that she heard an odd noise, which she described as a whirring sound, going on and off intermittently. It was not loud, but continued for about ten minutes.

Since the veterinarian estimated the steer had been dead two or three days, it is possible the noise the woman heard was the same night the steer was killed.

The night after officers investigated the mutilated steer (April 30) they received a phone call from a woman in the Fife area reporting a group of flying objects maneuvering over her community. She watched the objects through binoculars and said they appeared to have yellow, green and blue lights around the outside of the crafts and that their centers seemed to glow. They appeared to be headed south at a high rate of speed, she said.

She added that she had seen such crafts before, but had not reported them.

In a later conversation with the woman, Captain Wolverton learned that she often watches airplanes and helicopters and is familiar with them. She said she never phoned in a report unless she was unable to identify the craft.

A Great Falls couple, parked on a country road near Great Falls about 3 a.m. were badly frightened when they saw a UFO that did a disappearing act.

The incident happened about May 1, 1976, but was not reported until two weeks later.

The couple told Captain Wolverton that they had just parked on an approach road and turned off their lights when they noticed a small triangular-shaped object hovering about four feet above the ground very close to their car and ahead of it. It glowed with a blue light. They described the size of the object as about one foot by two feet.

When the car headlights were turned on, the object disappeared; when the lights were turned off, it appeared. The procedure was repeated three or four times but when the object seemed to be coming closer to them, the couple turned on their lights and engine and "took off."

The incident was similar to one Captain Wolverton had heard about in another state.

The strange sounds attributed to the hairy creatures cropped up again in a report to the Cascade County Sheriff's Office May 7. A man whose ranch is in the vicinity of Gore Hill, location of the Great Falls International Airport, phoned to say that he was out feeding stock early that morning when he heard a wailing-moaning sound. It was very loud and he thought it was close but could not determine the direction from which it came.

He said his horses acted strange and restless.

When Captain Wolverton played a tape recorded in the Puyallup, Washington area of the strange sounds heard there, the man said, "That is exactly what I heard."

Captain Wolverton and Undersheriff Osborne checked the area carefully but nothing was found.

The reports continued to come in and with them the theories and speculations. Some people have said in all sincerity that they consider the strange events signs of the second coming of Jesus or the end of the world, as foretold in the book of Revelation.

This theory, like the others, has been neither discounted nor endorsed by those conducting the investigation.

A man who is and has been a professional government trapper for 18 years was asked his opinion as to whether predators could have caused the mutilations.

He said that when coyotes feed on a dead animal they do not take the hide, nor will they start in an area where they have to break the hide. He said they would eat three to four pounds of meat in one or two days, but would not eat the entrails if any meat was exposed. He based his opinion on his experience in examining over 2,000 animals killed by predators over the years.

Investigating officers appreciate the comments of anyone who thinks he or she may have information which could possibly help solve the mystery. They are especially grateful to those cooperative residents who have reported cattle mutilations or sightings of UFOs or hairy creatures. Without this help, they would be unable to assemble the evidence that they hope will lead to an eventual solution.

“I want people to know,” Captain Wolverton said, “that our department, under a directive from Sheriff John Krsul, is looking at this whole situation as serious.”

Captain Wolverton urged anyone who might have something unusual to report to phone their local law enforcement officers or get in touch with him.

It is only by continuing to follow every lead, to investigate every incident, that lawmen will be able to solve the mystery of cattle mutilations and other strange incidents that are plaguing Montana and other areas.

## EPILOGUE

This book, in a sense, has no ending.

It can't have, as long as the story it tells is not complete.

At this writing, no one has produced proof as to who, or what is mutilating cattle, or why . . .

And although there have been many conjectures, some of them most convincing, no one has yet proved the identity and origin of the unidentified flying objects. Or the hairy creatures.

The mystery goes on and on, with new bits of the puzzle added now and then to further perplex those who are striving so hard to solve it.

One fact is certain. The officers in the Cascade County Sheriff's Department, from Sheriff John Krsul on down, take the strange incidents seriously and they are conducting a serious investigation.

They realize, of course, that there are times when a person's imagination plays tricks on him. And they also leave room to discount calls from pranksters.

But the men who have investigated the strange episodes related here have seen and heard too much to casually disregard it. They believe those persons who say they have seen hairy creatures or UFOs. In fact, some of the officers have seen UFOs themselves.

As for the cattle mutilations, the officers have observed too many strangely butchered cows to mark it all up to natural causes or predators.

There has to be an explanation for the strange and frightening incidents, and a solution to the syndrome of puzzling events. Those in the Cascade County Sheriff's Department have no intention of giving up before they unravel the mystery — no matter how long it takes.

They feel strongly that local sheriff's officers will probably be the people responsible for solving the mutilation mystery, when and if it is solved.

At that time, this book may have a sequel.



## [BACK COVER]

### Cattle Mutilations Unidentified Flying Objects Hairy Creatures

A mutilated cow is found in a plowed field, but there are no tracks in the soft earth. The carcass of a mutilated animal is completely void of blood. The cut area of a mutilated cow bears a neatly serrated edge, as though cut by a giant cookie cutter.

A farmer and his sons watch four small UFOs darting about a larger one, described as being “as big as a two-story building”. Strange, unidentified helicopters, some of them black, are seen but not heard—or heard but not seen.

An ordinarily aggressive watchdog becomes strangely quiet and refuses to bark as a strange light floods his owner’s home. The newborn calf of a mutilated cow disappears, then mysteriously reappears four days later.

A teenage boy tells of a strange, hairy creature eight feet tall who walked across the meadow by his home.

These are but a few of the reports received by the Cascade County Sheriff’s office and related here from official records.

Is there an explanation for these mysterious happenings?

Are they in any way connected?

These are the questions pondered by the sheriff’s staff as frightened people continue to report unusual incidents. Each report is given serious consideration as lawmen continue their efforts to unravel the complex mystery Montanans are facing.

The front cover shows officers investigating a cow mutilation.